

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_122630
Title of the Manuscript:	“Hygienic Floor Management Using Hot Water: A Strategy to Reduce Piglet Mortality in Northeastern India”.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Such manuscript doesn't discuss any important scientific problem. -This manuscript doesn't serve the farmers. -There is no any new idea in this manuscript (to be original). -The final results are expected, due to the absence of active compound (just hot water). 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Unfortunately, this manuscript not scientifically robust neither technically sound. -There is no any new idea and modern method, that may be used as indicator for increasing the productive performance of the studied animals. - It doesn't serve the farmers. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	(The manuscript may be become suitable for publish, if the author/s used a sterilized material as comparison with hot water, while the non-hot water will be stay used as control); also, the number of animals is very low, it should be increases.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shekhmous H. HUsen
Department, University & Country	University of Duhok, Iraq