

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_122642
Title of the Manuscript:	FDA Warning Letter Trends: A 15-Year Analysis
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	If Sentences were clearer, shorter and free of repetition, the conclusion would be more effective. A noteworthy point that caught my attention was that there were only 13 studies out of a total of 1569, which seems to be a small number of studies.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Pharmaceutical, should be added to the title.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The reason for doing this research should be in the "Aim" And also abstract need 1 or 2 sentences of introduction.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Adding a Discussion section after Results and before conclusion might have improved the work.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Considering the topic of the article, which should be research from previous years, there is no need to used recent references	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The analysis of trends was repetitive due to redundant sentence. The sentences were very long and complex.it would have been better if the text were clearer, shorter, avoided redundant information. If Sentences were clearer, shorter and free of repetition, the conclusion would be more effective.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammad Mahdi Abolhasani
Department, University & Country	Tehran University, Iran