

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_123163
Title of the Manuscript:	Application of Digital Technology in Schedule Management of Prefabricated Construction
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It does a good job at stabilising the important stages of prefabricated construction and its significant difference in the design and construction process Well structured The information presented lacks deep analysis on the studied subject	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I think its not. Maybe "A review of critical stages of Digital Technology and Schedule Management ..."	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	As I stated earlier, it does a good job at reviewing and ordering the stages of the studied process.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Appropriate and well structured	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article presented different relevant issues during the prefabricated construction process, but they appear as anecdotes in the proposed mythology, a deeper causal relation between the discussed subject could be established The most interesting subject, in my opinion, is the confusion regarding the responsibilities of the professionals involved in the process. Nonetheless, this was included just as a condition To improve the scientific contribution of the article, some sort of measurement about efficiency should be included	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references were appropriately	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I had no issue with language or redaction reading the article	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Is a relevant subject, specially because of the immense volume of construction that is developing in China, I will find it interesting if the author reflects on the social benefits of prefabricated construction, or another justification to potentiate prefabricated construction.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sebastian Carcamo
Department, University & Country	Pothifical Catholic University of Chile, Chile