

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JERR_123163</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Application of Digital Technology in Schedule Management of Prefabricated Construction</b>
Type of the Article	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>		
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>		
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>		
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>		
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>		
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>		

**Review Form 3**

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Reviewer comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The title should clearly reflect that the study's concept revolves around applying digital technology in managing prefabricated construction schedules.</li> <li>2. While the abstract outlines the study objectives, it lacks crucial information in identifying the research problem and objectives and does not specify the main project management objectives.</li> <li>3. The introduction does not provide a clear explanation of the study's objectives, and the criteria followed in the paper.</li> <li>4. The researcher addresses existing problems and factors affecting current project schedule management, alongside strategies for expediting prefabricated construction progress through digital technology to enhance project team efficiency and control in complex and evolving environments. However, the student failed to establish a meaningful connection with the chosen case, rendering its presence or absence inconsequential in the study. Additionally, the researcher did not address the performance improvement measures mentioned in the abstract.</li> <li>5. The conclusion is good but lacks connectivity with the study's main components.</li> </ol>	

**PART 2:**

	<p><b>Reviewer's comment</b></p>	<p><b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i></p>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<p>Name:</p>	<p><b>Omer Saad Ali Hameed</b></p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p><b>University of Science and Technology, Sudan</b></p>