

Review Article

**Actuation Drones in Agriculture: Advancing Precision Pest Management through
Biocontrol and Modern Techniques – A Review**

UNDER PEER REVIEW

ABSTRACT

The impact of technology in agriculture is a positive trend, offering solutions to feed the growing population amidst environmental challenges like degradation, pollution, and water scarcity. Ensuring food security is crucial, and drones present a sustainable approach to achieving it. Drones can significantly improve agricultural efficiency, particularly in precision pest management. They can be categorized into two types: sensing drones, which detect pest hotspots, and actuation drones, which distribute solutions with precision. Together, they can create a closed-loop Integrated Pest Management (IPM) system. While much of the research has focused on drones for detecting plant stress caused by pests, this review highlights the use of actuation drones for precise releases of natural enemies, biopesticides, and advanced pest management techniques like the Sterile Insect Technique (SIT) and mating disruption. These drones offer unmatched precision and efficiency, reducing reliance on chemical pesticides and promoting sustainable pest control. However, challenges remain, including high costs, the need for specialized training, and regulatory concerns. Future research and development are essential to overcoming these obstacles and optimizing drone-assisted pest management systems. By addressing these challenges, drones can revolutionize modern agriculture, making pest management more effective, environmentally friendly, and accessible on a larger scale.

Key Words: Unmanned Aerial Vehicles, Actuation Drones, natural enemies, biopesticides, Sterile Insect Technique, mating disruption

INTRODUCTION

As the global population grows, technology in agriculture is becoming increasingly crucial for ensuring food security amidst challenges like environmental degradation, pollution, and water scarcity [1]. Drones, or UAVs (Unmanned Aerial Vehicles), offer a promising solution by providing precise and efficient agricultural management [2]. Equipped with advanced sensors, drones can capture real-time, detailed data that goes beyond human visual capabilities, allowing for more accurate and reliable information [3].

Drones are used in many fields, including military, cinematography, and disaster management. In agriculture, they are emerging as a key technology for precision farming and sustainable agriculture. They help address issues like labor shortages and health risks from chemicals [4]. By utilizing various sensors, drones contribute to precision pest management in two main ways: detecting pest hotspots and delivering solutions[5].

Sensing drones identify areas with high pest populations using remote sensing technologies. While aircraft have long been used for pesticide application, they often result in significant pesticide drift and environmental harm [6,7]. Drones offer a more precise alternative, potentially reducing the area and quantity of pesticide use[8]. Moreover, drones can enhance biological control by efficiently distributing natural enemies in specific locations, increasing their efficacy and reducing costs[9].

In contrast, actuation drones are designed to release natural enemies, such as predatory insects or parasitoids, exactly where they are needed. This targeted approach improves the effectiveness of biological control and reduces reliance on chemical pesticides[10] . Combining these drones in a closed-loop system can provide a cost-effective and environmentally friendly pest management solution.

Biological control involves using one organism to manage another, with many commercially available options like parasitoids and entomopathogenic nematodes [10]. Drones could improve the application of these agents, which are often dispersed manually or using other equipment [11]. While the use of drones for distributing natural enemies is still developing, they promise to make biological control more accessible and effective compared to traditional methods[12,13].

This review will delve into the capabilities of actuation drones for the precision distribution of natural enemies, explore their role in modern pest management, and discuss the challenges and opportunities for their broader adoption in agriculture.

Actuation Drones for Precision Releases of Natural Enemies

Drones have emerged as versatile tools in various sectors, including agriculture, where they hold promise for augmentative biological control[14]. Augmentative biological control relies on the large-scale release of natural enemies for immediate pest management [10]. These natural enemies, such as predators, parasitoids, and pathogens, help in managing pest populations without the need for chemical pesticides[15]. The application of drones in this field could revolutionize biological control methods by increasing the efficiency of distributing natural enemies precisely where they are needed[16].

The ability of drones to distribute natural enemies across large areas offers significant advantages[16]. Unlike manual distribution methods, drones can cover extensive fields quickly, reducing the time and labor involved[17]. Furthermore, targeted delivery of biological control agents may enhance their efficacy by placing them directly in pest

hotspots. This precision could reduce overall application costs and improve the success rates of biological control programs[18].

Certain natural enemies, such as insect-killing fungi and nematodes, can already be applied using conventional spray equipment [5,11]. As drones are increasingly used for pesticide application[19], the integration of these biocontrol agents with drone technology could follow a similar path. The automation and programmability of drones allow for tailored applications based on specific environmental conditions, further optimizing the release process[20].

However, not all natural enemies can be applied as easily as fungi or nematodes. The release of larger predators or parasitoids, for instance, is often more complex, time-consuming, and costly. Various mechanical distribution systems have been developed to facilitate the dispersal of predators, but their application remains a challenge[21]. Despite this, the versatility of drones could still provide a solution. By adapting drones with appropriate release mechanisms, they could be used to deploy a wider range of natural enemies in diverse environments[14].

Historically, the idea of using aircraft to distribute biological control agents was explored in the 1980s [22,23]. While these early efforts demonstrated potential, the high cost and limited technology available at the time restricted widespread adoption(Table - 1). Modern drones, on the other hand, are smaller, more affordable, and capable of accessing areas that are difficult for larger aircraft to reach[24]. This opens up a myriad of possibilities for enhancing biological control efforts on both small and large scales.

Drones represent a promising tool for augmentative biological control, offering precision, efficiency, and reduced costs. By enabling the targeted release of natural enemies, drones could increase the adoption of biological control methods, potentially reducing reliance on chemical pesticides[25]. As technology continues to advance, drones may play a crucial role in sustainable pest management practices, bridging the gap between traditional methods and modern innovations. However, further research and development are needed to overcome challenges related to the deployment of certain natural enemies and to optimize drone-assisted biological control systems[26].

Actuation Drones for Precision Releases of Biopesticides

Actuation drones are used in agriculture to apply biopesticides directly and precisely to targeted areas within fields. These drones use advanced technology to control the release of biopesticides based on real-time data, making them especially useful for managing pest outbreaks in large farms where traditional methods may be less effective[19].

Biopesticides include various types, such as microbial agents (bacteria, fungi, viruses), plant extracts, and biochemical substances. Drones can be equipped to handle different forms of biopesticides, including liquid sprays and dry powders, ensuring they are applied effectively [5,11].

One major advantage of using drones for biopesticide application is the reduction of pesticide drift, which is the spread of pesticides beyond the intended target. Traditional methods can cause harm to beneficial insects, wildlife, and ecosystems due to drift, but drones allow for more precise application, reducing the amount of biopesticide needed and minimizing harm to non-target organisms[27,28,14].

Drones also improve labor and operational efficiency by automating the application process, reducing the need for manual labor, and lowering costs. They can access hard-to-reach areas within fields, ensuring complete coverage for pest control [26,12,13].

However, there are challenges in adopting drone technology for biopesticide application, including the high cost of drones, the need for specialized training, and regulatory concerns. Despite these challenges, advances in drone technology and decreasing costs are making this method more accessible to farmers[31].

Recent developments in drone technology have opened new possibilities for more efficient pest management. While some progress has been made in using drones to apply biological control agents, there is still limited information on drone application parameters and the effectiveness of these agents when delivered by drones. Some studies have shown success with specific organisms, like the bacterium *Bacillus thuringiensis*, but more research is needed, especially with entomopathogenic fungi (Table - 3). For example, a study on spraying the fungus *Metarhizium acridum* by drone showed that factors like flight configuration, weather, and vegetation type significantly affected the deposition of the fungus. Despite some drift during dusting, spraying biopesticides with drones was found to be more uniform and effective [32,33,34].

Reducing Pest Populations with Drones: Sterile Insect Technique and Mating Disruption

Drones are emerging as innovative tools for pest management, particularly in enhancing techniques like the Sterile Insect Technique (SIT) and mating disruption[35]. These environmentally friendly, species-specific methods offer sustainable alternatives to chemical pesticides and can be seamlessly integrated into broader Integrated Pest Management (IPM) strategies.

Sterile Insect Technique (SIT)

The Sterile Insect Technique (SIT) involves mass-rearing and sterilizing insects, typically through irradiation, and releasing them into the wild. When these sterile insects mate with wild counterparts, the resulting offspring are either sterile or non-existent, leading to a gradual reduction in pest populations[36]. SIT has been widely recognized as a valuable tool in IPM due to its precision, minimal environmental impact, and compatibility with other management methods [37].

Traditionally, sterile insects have been released through ground-based methods such as all-terrain vehicles (ATVs) or by manned aircraft [38]. However, these methods can be expensive, labor-intensive, and less precise, limiting the wider adoption of SIT. Drones offer a promising alternative. By enabling aerial releases at targeted locations, drones can increase the effectiveness of sterile insect dispersal while reducing the costs associated with ground releases. The cost-effectiveness and precision of drones may help expand the use of SIT to new regions and crops, making it more accessible to growers[39].

Mating Disruption

In addition to SIT, drones can play a pivotal role in implementing mating disruption techniques. Mating disruption relies on the strategic release of pheromones that interfere with pests' ability to find mates, effectively reducing their reproduction rates [40]. One common

product used in mating disruption is SPLAT (Specialized Pheromone & Lure Application Technology), an inert matrix that can be infused with pheromones or pesticides and applied as dollops[41] .

Drones provide an efficient and precise method for deploying mating disruptors across large commercial fields[42]. Their ability to navigate difficult terrains and apply disruptors at specific locations ensures more effective coverage compared to manual application methods. Additionally, drones can be used for attract-and-kill strategies, which combine pheromones with a killing agent, drawing pests in and eliminating them[43]. The combination of these methods has proven to be effective in managing a variety of pests across different cropping systems.

The integration of drones into pest management strategies like SIT and mating disruption represents a significant advancement in sustainable agriculture[44]. Drones offer precision, cost efficiency, and the ability to cover large areas quickly, making them ideal for the release of sterile insects and the deployment of pheromone-based disruptors[45]. As these technologies continue to evolve, they hold the potential to reduce the reliance on chemical pesticides and increase the adoption of environmentally friendly pest control methods[14]. By bridging the gap between traditional techniques and modern innovations, drones are set to play an increasingly important role in IPM and the future of pest management(Table - 2).

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Table .1 Actuation Drones for Precision Releases of Natural Enemies

Sl no	Natural Enemies	Scientific name	Family and Order	Insect pest	Scientific name	Family and Order	References
	Egg parasitoid	<i>Trichogrammaostriniae</i>	Hymenoptera: Trichogrammatidae	European corn Borer	<i>Ostrinia nubilalis</i> Hübner	Lepidoptera: Crambidae)	[46]
	Egg parasitoid	<i>Trichogramma minutum</i>	Hymenoptera: Trichogrammatidae	Eastern spruce budworm,	<i>Choristoneura fumiferana</i> Clemens	Lepidoptera: Tortricidae	[46]
	Mile-a-minute weevil	<i>Rhinoncomimus latipes</i> Korotyaev	Coleoptera: Curculionidae	Mile-a-minute weed	<i>Persicaria perfoliata</i> (L.) H. Gross	Caryophyllales: Polygonaceae	[47]
	Lacewings	<i>Chrysoperla rufilabris</i> (Burmeister)	Neuroptera: Chrysopidae	Lettuce aphid,	<i>Nasonovia ribisnigri</i> (Mosley)	Hemiptera: Aphididae	[48]
	defoliating moth	<i>Neomusotima conspurcatalis</i> Warren	Lepidoptera: Crambidae	Old World climbing fern,	<i>Lygodium microphyllum</i>	Lygodiaceae	[49]
	Egg parasitoid	<i>Trichogrammaostriniae</i>	Hymenoptera: Trichogrammatidae	Corn Borer	<i>Ostrinia furnacalis</i> (Guenée)	Lepidoptera: Crambidae	[50]
	ectoparasitoid	<i>Tamarixia radiata</i> Waterston	Hymenoptera: Eulophidae	Asian citrus psyllid	<i>Diaphorina citri</i> Kuwayama	Hemiptera: Psyllidae	[51]

Table .2 Reducing Pest Populations :Sterile Insect Technique and Mating Disruption

	Common Name	Scientific name	Family and Order	Mechanism	References
1.	Sterile Mexican fruit fly	<i>Anastrephaludens(Loew)</i>	(Diptera: Tephritidae)	Designed a conveyor belt mechanism underneath a straight walled insect compartment to meter and expel insects Release device	[52]
2.	Sterile Codling Moth	<i>Cydia Pomonella (Linnaeus)</i>	(Lepidoptera: Tortricidae)	Comparing Deliveries of Sterile Codling Moth by Two Types of Unmanned Aerial Systems and from the Ground	[26]
3.	Cranberry fruitworm	<i>Acrobasis Vaccinii (Riley)</i>	Lepidoptera: Pyralidae)	Mating disruption extruder system that effectively delivered one gram droplets of mating disruption over cranberry beds	[53]
4.	Sterile Codling Moth	<i>Cydia Pomonella (Linnaeus)</i>	(Lepidoptera: Tortricidae)	Combined Effects of Mating Disruption, Insecticides,	[35]
5.	sterile male	<i>Aedes aegypti</i>	(Diptera: Culicidae)	Release of Sterile Mosquitoes with Drones in Urban and Rural Environments	[54]
6.	Male Mosquitoes	<i>Aedes aegypti</i>	(Diptera: Culicidae)	The Effect of Storage Conditions on Survival of Male Mosquitoes During Transport	[55]

Table .3 Actuation Drones for Precision Releases of Biopesticides

Biopesticide	Insect pest	References
<i>Metarhizium rileyi</i>	Fall armyworm and soybean looper	[56]
<i>Bacillus thuringiensis var. israelensis</i>	<i>Aedes vigilax</i> (Skuse)	[32]
<i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i>	Bagworm, <i>Metisa plana</i> WALKER	[33]
liquid formulation (conidia+diesel) of <i>Metarhiziumacridum</i> (Farlow) Kepler, Rehner and Humber	Desert locust, <i>Schistocerca gregaria</i> (Forsk.)	[34]

Potential and Limits of UAS for Trichogramma Releases

- ✓ The Trichocards offer some protection against both abiotic conditions and predation
- ✓ While Trichocards can be installed under any weather conditions, UAS have some constraints regarding mainly winds and their flight duration is limited by the battery autonomy.
- ✓ The regulations surrounding the use of UAS can also have an impact on its use for biological control applications.
- ✓ A tight timing of the UAS bulk release right before parasitoid emergence could offer a good solution, although the costs of parasitoids emerging too early would be even more detrimental.
- ✓ Releasing capsules containing the biocontrol agents could also offer parasitoids a protection.
- ✓ Bulk releases allow the dispersion of parasitoids during release while capsules act more like Trichocards where the parasitoid dispersal comes from punctual points instead of spreading in the field/forest.
- ✓ Trichocards and capsules also require more work, which of course adds to costs. However, preparing capsules is faster than preparing Trichocards, given that the proper installations are available.
- ✓ Of course, UAS also carry operation costs, that may vary based on whether they are done by producers, forest managers, or by service providers.
- ✓ UAS also brings the benefit of being potentially coupled with imagery that could detect the presence of the pest, and more precisely release biocontrol agents only on the specific part of the field/forests that need them, avoiding non-host or healthy plants.

Advantages

1. Precision Application: Drones enable precise distribution of biological control agents, biopesticides, and pheromones, reducing wastage and ensuring targeted application in pest hotspots.
2. Cost and Time Efficiency: Drone-assisted releases reduce labor costs and time spent on manual distribution methods, especially in large or difficult-to-access fields.
3. Environmental Benefits: By promoting the use of biological control and reducing reliance on chemical pesticides, drones contribute to environmentally sustainable pest management.

4. Coverage of Large Areas: Drones can cover extensive fields quickly and efficiently, making them suitable for large-scale agricultural operations.
5. Adaptability: Drones can be programmed for specific tasks and adjusted based on real-time data, making them versatile for different pest management scenarios.
6. Reduction in Pesticide Drift: The precision of drones reduces the risk of pesticide drift, minimizing harm to non-target organisms and ecosystems.

Disadvantages

1. High Initial Costs: The acquisition of drones and related equipment can be expensive, posing a barrier for small-scale farmers.
2. Specialized Training: Operating drones for pest management requires specialized training, which may not be readily available to all users.
3. Regulatory Challenges: Compliance with regulations governing drone use in agriculture varies by region and can be complex, potentially limiting widespread adoption.
4. Technical Limitations: Factors such as weather conditions, flight endurance, and payload capacity may restrict the effectiveness of drone applications in certain environments.
5. Limited Research: While some progress has been made, more research is needed on drone application parameters, particularly for certain biological agents like entomopathogenic fungi.
6. Maintenance and Upkeep: Regular maintenance and technical support are required to ensure drones operate effectively, adding to operational costs.

Conclusion

The integration of drones into pest management practices represents a significant advancement in sustainable agriculture. Drones provide precision, cost efficiency, and environmental benefits, making them ideal for tasks such as the release of natural enemies, biopesticide application, and mating disruption. By addressing the challenges associated with drone technology, including costs, training, and regulations, the agricultural sector can unlock the full potential of drones for pest management. As research continues to evolve, drones are likely to play an increasingly important role in reducing the reliance on chemical pesticides and enhancing the effectiveness of IPM strategies.

Future Prospects

1. Advancements in Drone Technology: Future developments in drone technology, including increased payload capacity, longer flight times, and enhanced automation, will improve the efficiency of drone-assisted pest management systems.

2. **Cost Reduction:** As drone technology becomes more widespread, the cost of acquiring and operating drones is expected to decrease, making them more accessible to a broader range of farmers.
3. **Integration with AI and Data Analytics:** The combination of drones with artificial intelligence (AI) and data analytics could enable real-time monitoring and decision-making, further optimizing pest management practices.
4. **Expansion of Biocontrol Research:** Continued research on the application of various biocontrol agents using drones will provide insights into best practices and improve the effectiveness of these agents.
5. **Sustainability and Ecosystem Impact:** Drones will contribute to more sustainable agricultural practices by minimizing the environmental impact of pest management and promoting biodiversity conservation.
6. **Policy and Regulatory Frameworks:** As the use of drones in agriculture expands, more streamlined and supportive regulatory frameworks will be necessary to facilitate their adoption while ensuring safety and environmental protection.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

References:

1. Aasen H, Bolten A. Multi-temporal high-resolution imaging spectroscopy with hyperspectral 2D imagers – From theory to application. *Remote Sensors Environment*. 2018; 205: 374–389
2. Barbedo JGA. A review on the use of unmanned aerial vehicles and imaging sensors for monitoring and assessing plant stresses. *Drones* 2019; 3: 40.
3. Gonzalez F, McFadyen A, Puig E. Advances in unmanned aerial systems and payload technologies for precision agriculture, pp. 133–155. In G. Chen (ed.), *Advances in agricultural machinery and technologies*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL. 2018.
4. Maes W H, Steppe K. Perspectives for remote sensing with unmanned aerial vehicles in precision agriculture. *Trends Plant Science*. 2019; 24: 152–164.
5. Shah PA, Pell JK. Entomopathogenic fungi as biological control agents. *Applied microbiology and biotechnology*, 2003; 61(5): 413-423.
6. Pimentel D. Amounts of pesticides reaching target pests: environmental impacts and ethics. *Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Ethics*. 1995; 8: 17-29.
7. Bird SL, Esterly DM, Perry SG. Off-target deposition of pesticides from agricultural aerial spray applications (Vol. 25, No. 5, pp. 1095-1104). American Society of Agronomy, Crop Science Society of America, and Soil Science Society of America. 1996.
8. Berner B, Chojnacki J. Use of drones in crop protection. IX International Scientific Symposium, 2017, 801-806.

9. Van Lenteren JC, Bolckmans K, Köhl J, Ravensberg WJ, Urbaneja A. Biological control using invertebrates and microorganisms: plenty of new opportunities. *BioControl*.2018; 63: 39–59.
10. Chmaj G, Selvaraj H. Distributed processing applications for UAV/drones: a survey. In *Progress in Systems Engineering: Proceedings of the Twenty-Third International Conference on Systems Engineering* (pp. 449-454). Springer International Publishing.2015.
11. Saeed Ben Youssef A. Modern trends for the application of biological control and modern technologies in agricultural projects. *International Journal of Modern Agriculture and Environment*, 2021.1(2): 26-53.
12. Librán-Embí, F., Klaus, F., Tschardt, T., & Grass, I. Unmanned aerial vehicles for biodiversity-friendly agricultural landscapes-A systematic review. *Science of the total environment*, 2020. 732: 139204.
13. Ivezić A, Trudić B, Stamenković Z, Kuzmanović B, Perić S, Ivošević B, Petrović K.. Drone-related agrotechnologies for precise plant protection in western balkans: Applications, possibilities, and legal framework limitations. *Agronomy*, 2023.13(10): 2615.
14. Ballal CR, Verghese A. Role of parasitoids and predators in the management of insect pests. *New Horizons in Insect Science: Towards Sustainable Pest Management*, 2015. 307-326.
15. Zhan Y, Chen S, Wang G, Fu J, Lan Y. Biological control technology and application based on agricultural unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) intelligent delivery of insect natural enemies (*Trichogramma*) carrier. *Pest Management Science*, 2021.77(7), 3259-3272.
16. Shakhathreh H, Sawalmeh A H, Al-Fuqaha A, Dou Z, Almaita E, Khalil I, Guizani M. Unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs): A survey on civil applications and key research challenges. *Ieee Access*, 2019. 7: 48572-48634.
17. Saeed Ben Youssef A. Modern trends for the application of biological control and modern technologies in agricultural projects. *International Journal of Modern Agriculture and Environment*, 2021. 1(2): 26-53.
18. Ming R, Jiang R, Luo H, Lai T, Guo E, Zhou Z. Comparative analysis of different uav swarm control methods on unmanned farms. *Agronomy*, 2023.13(10): 2499.
19. Dara SK. Predatory mites for managing spider mites on strawberries. *UC ANR eJournal of Entomology and Biologicals*. Available from <https://ucanr.edu/blogs/blogcore/postdetail.cfm?postnum=14065>, 2014.
20. Herren HR, Bird TJ and Nadel DJ. Technology for automated aerial release of natural enemies of the cassava mealybug and cassava green mite. *International Journal of Tropical Insect Science*. 1987. 8: 883–885.
21. Pickett CH, Gilstrap FE, Morrison RK, Bouse LF. Release of predatory mites (Acari: Phytoseiidae) by aircraft for the biological control of spider mites (Acari: Tetranychidae) infesting corn. *Journal of Economic Entomology*.1987. 80: 906–910
22. Rejeb A, Abdollahi A, Rejeb K, Treiblmaier H. Drones in agriculture: A review and bibliometric analysis. *Computers and electronics in agriculture*, 2022. 198: 107017.
23. Morley CG, Bradley J, Hartley R, Herries D, MacMorran D, McLean IG. The potential of using unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) for precision pest control of possums (*Trichosurus vulpecula*). *Rethinking Ecology*, 2017. 2: 27-39.
24. Iost Filho FH, Heldens WB, Kong Z, de Lange E S. Drones: innovative technology for use in precision pest management. *Journal of economic entomology*, 2020.113(1): 1-25.

25. Dara SK. Predatory mites for managing spider mites on strawberries. UC ANR eJournal of Entomology and Biologicals. Available from <https://ucanr.edu/blogs/blogcore/postdetail.cfm?postnum=14065>,2014.
26. Johnson BJ, Manby R, Devine GJ. Performance of an aerially applied liquid *Bacillus thuringiensis* var. *israelensis* formulation (strain AM65-52) against mosquitoes in mixed saltmarsh–mangrove systems and fine-scale mapping of mangrove canopy cover using affordable drone-based imagery. *Pest management science*, 2020.76(11): 3822-3831.
27. Masri MMM, Kamarudin N, Napiah NRMA, Keni MF. Effectiveness of *Bacillus thuringiensis* aerial spraying against the bagworm, *Metisa plana* Walker (Lepidoptera: Psychidae) outbreak in oil palm using drone. *Journal of Oil Palm Research*, 2022. 34(2): 276-288.
28. Ochieng V, Rwomushana I, Ongamo G, Ndegwa P, Kamau S, Makale F, Chacha D, Gadhia K, Akiri M. Optimum flight height for the control of desert locusts using unmanned aerial vehicles (UAV). *Drones*.2023. 7(4):233
29. Horner RM, Lo PL, Rogers DJ, Walker JT, Suckling DM. Combined effects of mating disruption, insecticides, and the sterile insect technique on *Cydia pomonella* in New Zealand. *Insects*, 2020. 11(12): 837.
30. Marec F, Vreysen MJ. Advances and challenges of using the sterile insect technique for the management of pest Lepidoptera. *Insects*. 2019. 10(11): 371.
31. Simmons GS, Suckling DM, Carpenter JE, Addison MF, Dyck VA, Vreysen MJB. Improved quality management to enhance the efficacy of the sterile insect technique for lepidopteran pests. *Journal of Applied Entomology*, 2010.134(3): 261-273.
32. Tan LT, Tan KH. Alternative air vehicles for sterile insect technique aerial release. *J. Appl. Entomol.* 2013. 137: 126–141.
33. Esch ED, Horner RM, Krompetz D C, Moses-Gonzales N, Tesche MR, Suckling DM. Operational parameters for the aerial release of sterile codling moths using an uncrewed aircraft system. *Insects*, 2021. 12(2): 159.
34. Miller J R, Gut LJ. Mating disruption for the 21st century: matching technology with mechanism. *Environ. Entomol.* 2015. 44: 427–453.
35. ISCA.. Mating disruption. Available from <https://www.iscotech.com/solutions/mating-disruption/> 2019a
36. ISCA. Attract & kill: the hybrid IPM solution. Available from <https://www.iscotech.com/solutions/attract-kill/>2019b.
37. Brian D, Luck Elissa M, Chasen Parker J, Williams Shawn A, Steffan. Drones That Deliver: Pheromone-Based Mating Disruption Deployed via Uncrewed Aerial Vehicles in U.S. Cranberries, *Journal of Economic Entomology*,114.5 2021: 1910-1916.
38. Campos M, Phillips TW. Attract-and-kill and other pheromone-based methods to suppress populations of the Indianmeal moth (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). *Journal of economic entomology*, 2014. 107(1): 473-480.
39. Bernaola L, Holt JR. Incorporating sustainable and technological approaches in pest management of invasive arthropod species. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America*, 2021. 114(6):673-685.
40. Curtiss III RT. *Factors Influencing Sterile Codling Moth (Cydia pomonella L.) Recapture, Dispersion, and Effectiveness as a Control Tactic in Apple Orchard Systems*. Michigan State University. 2021.
41. Martel V, Trudeau S, Johns R, Owens E, Smith SM, Bovin G. Testing the efficacy of *Trichogramma minutum* in the context of an ‘Early Intervention Strategy’ against

- the spruce budworm using different release methods. SERG-i Annual Reports. Quebec, Canada, 2018. 276-283.
42. Kim J, Huebner CD, Reardon R, Park YL. Spatially Targeted Biological Control of Mile-a-Minute Weed Using *Rhinoncomimus latipes* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) and an Unmanned Aircraft System. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 2021.114(5): 1889-1895.
 43. Del Pozo-Valdivia, AI, Morgan E, Bennett C.. In-Field Evaluation of Drone-Released Lacewings for Aphid Control in California Organic Lettuce. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 2021.114(5): 1882-1888.
 44. Lake E C, David AS, Spencer TM, Wilhelm VL, Barnett TW, Abdel-Kader AA., Minter CR. First drone releases of the biological control agent *Neomosotima conspurcatalis* on Old World climbing fern. *Biocontrol Science and Technology*, 2021. 31(1), 97-106.
 45. Zhan Y, Chen S, Wang G, Fu J, Lan Y. Biological control technology and application based on agricultural unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) intelligent delivery of insect natural enemies (*Trichogramma*) carrier. *Pest Management Science*, 2021. 77(7): 3259-3272.
 46. Sánchez-Borja M, Leal-Mubarqui R, Cano-Pérez R, Leal-de la Garza, RL, Alejandro-Medrano, LE, Álvarez-Ramos R, Azuara-Domínguez APotential of an Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (Drone) and the “Mubarqui” Device for Air Release of *Tamarixia radiata*. *Southwestern Entomologist*, . 2022. 47(1): 177-182.
 47. Moses-Gonzales N, Conway H, Krompetz D, Rodriguez R, Adams CG, Baez I, Milam M The use of multiple unmanned aircraft systems as a swarm to release sterile Mexican fruit fly (Diptera: Tephritidae) into south Texas citrus groves. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 2021.114(5): 1857-1866.
 48. Lo PL, Rogers DJ, Walker JT, Abbott BH, Vandervoet TF, Kokeny A, Suckling DM. Comparing deliveries of sterile codling moth (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae) by two types of unmanned aerial systems and from the ground. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 2021. 114(5), 1917-1926.
 49. Luck BD, Chasen EM, Williams PJ, Steffan SA. Drones that deliver: pheromone-based mating disruption deployed via uncrewed aerial vehicles in us cranberries. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 2021.114(5): 1910-1916.
 50. Horner RM, Lo PL, Rogers DJ, Walker JT, Suckling DM. Combined effects of mating disruption, insecticides, and the sterile insect technique on *Cydia pomonella* in New Zealand. *Insects*, 2020.11(12): 837.
 51. Garcia M, Maza I, Ollero A, Gutierrez D, Aguirre I, Viguria A. Release of sterile mosquitoes with drones in urban and rural environments under the European drone regulation. *Applied Sciences*, 2022.12(3): 1250.
 52. Chung HN, Rodriguez SD, Gonzales KK, Vulcan J, Cordova JJ, Mitra S, Hansen IA. Toward implementation of mosquito sterile insect technique: The effect of storage conditions on survival of male *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) during transport. *Journal of insect science*, 2018. 18(6): 2.
 53. Moses-Gonzales N, Conway H, Krompetz D, Rodriguez R, Adams CG, BaezI, Milam M. The use of multiple unmanned aircraft systems as a swarm to release sterile Mexican fruit fly (Diptera: Tephritidae) into south Texas citrus groves. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 2021.114(5): 1857-1866.
 54. Lopes RB, Nicodemos FG, Zacaroni AB, de Souza HR, Faria M. Dusting *Metarhizium rileyi* conidia with a drone for controlling fall armyworm and soybean looper in maize and soybean fields. *BioControl*, 2024.1-11.

UNDER PEER REVIEW