

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_121970
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative analysis of various pollen substitute diets on the colony performance of Honey bee, <i>Apis cerana</i> F.
Type of the Article	Practical research

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Good manuscript useful to the community	These experiments help countries with long, harsh winters to provide more opportunities for beekeeping activities.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is good.	The title does not need to be changed as it is comprehensive and covers the subject of the manuscript.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The conclusion needs to be reformulated.	The conclusion needs to include what was concluded through the alternatives used in the experiment and which one is the best.
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	All titles and branches are clear and do not need to be changed.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is good and contains statistical analysis, which enhances the work of the experiment	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Most of the sources are recent and do not need to be modified.	But I noticed that the researcher used the sources in their explicit form in the text of the research instead of numbering them. I hope that the numbering will be used in the text of the research according to the magazine's format.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English used is good and reaches all readers.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It would have been worth using some figures instead of tables to attract the reader to search because the abundance of tables makes the reader feel bored sometimes.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Entisar D. Mustaf</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Tikrit University, College of Agriculture, Iraq</b>