

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JAMMR_123264 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Ectopic Sweating Treated with Botulinum Toxin After Vapocoolant Spray |
| Type of the Article | Clinical practice article |

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The article is written well, highlighting an important concern in the field of Dermatology. It also covers the aspect of Botulinum Toxin A use in this concern. The comparison of the effectiveness of Vapocoolant sprays with other treatment methods in Hyperhidrosis provides a good evidence for the topic chosen. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | The title of the article could be improved and make it interesting such as: The efficacy of Botulinum Toxin use in Hyperhidrosis; A growing concern. | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | The abstract could further be improved mentioning the methodology of this study. It remains unclear how the study was conducted. | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | The conclusion could further be improved highlighting the future prospective and research goals. The methodology of the study should be described. The article is under Clinical practice category, however it remains unclear if it is a case-series study or review article. The mechanism of action Botox could be focused on. | |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Botox is widely used for treatment of primary Hyperhidrosis due to its mechanism of action. - The discussion only interprets the results in the context of the study's objectives but also consider the broader implications of the findings. Limitations should be acknowledged, and suggestions for future research should be provided. - The manuscript is written well, with a logical structure, but with a moderate presentation of results. Figures and tables should be used effectively to support the text. - The methods used should be appropriate for addressing the research question and should be described in sufficient detail to allow replication. | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. : | There is a lack of references in the discussion. Some of the evidence based sentences are not referred at all. Such examples are: 'After the combination of alcohol swabbing and vapocoolant spray with vibration, BTX-A 0.8 units/0.1 mL and 2 units/0.1 mL were injected in the face and pelvic region, respectively, using a 33G needle. Wheals formed at 1-1.5 cm intervals across the entire target focal surface. BTX-A was then injected into the face, buttocks, perianal area, groin, and scrotum in a similar way. Antibiotic ointment was applied after the injections. As a result, sweating was significantly reduced in all patients. Side effects observed with this treatment modality consisted of local bleeding and tolerable to mild pain during injection.' 'Despite a variety of therapeutic management approaches, patients continue to complain of excessive sweating. Nevertheless, because hyperhidrosis is not a progressive condition that gets progressively worse, it is often not recognized as a disease and is frequently ignored. In addition, hyperhidrosis is often under-recognized by the medical community because it is rarely malignant, life-threatening, or progressive. As a result, it is perhaps not taken as seriously as it should be, including by the patients themselves, who often do not seek medical care in time.' | |

Review Form 3

| | | |
|---|---|--|
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Yes, but it could be structured more in terms of continuity of paragraphs. | |
| Optional/General comments | The figures that are put up in the manuscript are of patients body. However it hasn't been mentioned as a case report study or case series. The reference of these images are also not mentioned. Patient consent has not been discussed. | |

PART 2:

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Rabab Hunaid Abbas |
| Department, University & Country | Tbilisi State Medical University, Georgia |