

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_122491
Title of the Manuscript:	Remarks on attractors for two temperature phase transition system
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Reviewer Comments: Overall Assessment: The manuscript is well written and presents some interesting idea of the dimension of an attractor of a two-temperature Caginalp-type system for heat conduction. However, there are some areas that require attention and improvement before it can be considered for publication. Comments on the Abstract: The abstract is not sufficiently focused. In this section, the author should concisely and directly explain the specific contributions and objectives of the manuscript. The history and significance of the work are better suited for the introduction section. Comments on the Main Theorems: For the main theorems, I recommend that the author explain the advantages of this method compared to other techniques for estimating the dimension of a global attractor. Alternatively, the author could expand the work by including numerical or applied examples to demonstrate the practical benefits of this method. At the very least, the author should provide guidance to the reader on the specific areas where this method could be effectively applied. Recommendation: Minor revisions required</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Fatemeh Soleyman</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>K. N. Toosi University of Technology, Iran</p>