

## Remarks on attractors for two temperature phase transition system

---

### Abstract

The asymptotic behaviour of dynamical systems is an important aspect of their study. This is why researchers often seek to demonstrate the existence of an attractor. An attractor is a compact region in the space of physical variables that attracts all or some of the system's trajectories. Determining its dimension is a key step in describing it. Since the attractor is a fractal object, its dimension is not integer. In this paper, we investigate the dimension of an attractor of a two-temperature Caginalp-type system for heat conduction. More precisely, we show that the global attractor of the system is of finite fractal dimension by proving the existence of an exponential attractor.

### Keywords:

Caginalp phase-field system, two temperatures, global attractor, exponential attractor, overlap size, Hausdorff's dimension, fractal dimension.

MSC:35K55, 80A22, 35B40, 35B45, 35Q79(secondary)80A

---

### Introduction

Consider the Caginalp field-phase system defined by :

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u + f(u) = \varphi - \Delta \varphi, \quad (0.1)$$

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} - \Delta \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} - \Delta \varphi = -\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, \quad (0.2)$$

$$u = \varphi = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \quad (0.3)$$

$$u|_{t=0} = u_0, \varphi|_{t=0} = \varphi_0. \quad (0.4)$$

The derivation of this system, the existence and uniqueness of the solutions and the study of the asymptotic behaviour have been done in [14]. In particular, we have shown the existence of a global attractor. Beyond the existence of the attractor, it is often interesting to estimate its dimension. Indeed, the dimension of a global attractor is an interesting

geometrical property insofar as it gives information on the number of degrees of freedom defining the considered dynamic system (see [3], [4], [5], [21], [22]). The dimension of an attractor is understood to be an overlapping dimension such as the Hausdorff dimension or the fractal dimension (see [1], [6], [7], [11]). There are many techniques for estimating the dimension of a global attractor (see [8], [13], [17], [19]). One of them is to show the existence of an exponential attractor. Indeed, the existence of an exponential attractor implies not only the existence of a global attractor but also that the latter is of finite dimension (see [2], [5], [9], [18], [20], [23]).

In the first section of this work, we recall the existence result of the global attractor done in [14]. In the second section, we establish the existence of the exponential attractor which allows us to conclude that the global attractor is of finite fractal dimension. Finally, in the last section, we focus on the existence of an exponential attractor in the discrete case

The letter  $c$ , or  $c'$ , denotes a constant which may change from one line to another. Similarly,  $\|\cdot\|_p$  will denote the  $L^p$  norm and  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  the usual scalar product  $L^2$ . We will denote by  $\|\cdot\|_X$  the norm in the Banach space  $X$ . Finally, when there is no possible confusion, we will note  $\|\cdot\|$  instead of  $\|\cdot\|_2$ .

## 1 Existence of the global attractor

In this section, we show the existence of a global attractor of the solutions of our model. To do so, we will first make a number of assumptions.

$$-c_0 \leq F(s) \leq f(s)s + c_1, \quad c_0, c_1 \geq 0, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \text{and } F(s) = \int_0^s f(\tau) d\tau, \quad (1.1)$$

$$|f'(s)| \leq c_2(|s|^{2p} + 1), \quad c_2, p \geq 1, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1.2)$$

$$f' \geq -c_3, \quad c_3 \geq 0, \quad (1.3)$$

$$f(0) = 0. \quad (1.4)$$

Similarly, we will need the following theorems:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $T > 0$  be given. We assume that  $u_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ ,  $\varphi_0 \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$  and  $F(u_0) < +\infty$ . Then, under assumptions (1.1)-(1.2), the problem (0.1)-(0.4) admits at least one solution denoted  $(u, \varphi)$  such as  $u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; H_0^1(\Omega))$ ,  $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega))$ ,  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \in L^2((0, T) \times \Omega)$  and  $\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \in L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega))$ .*

**Theorem 1.2.** *We then have under conditions of Theorem 1.1 that the problem (0.1)-(0.4) possesses a unique solution  $(u, \varphi)$  with the above regularity.*

**Remark 1.3.** *A consequence of the theorems 1.1 and 1.2 is that we can define a family of resolution operators*

$$\begin{aligned} S(t) : \Phi &\longrightarrow \Phi \\ (u_0, \varphi_0) &\longmapsto (u(t), \varphi(t)), \quad t \geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

where  $\Phi = H_0^1(\Omega) \times H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$  and  $(u, \varphi)$  is the unique solution to the problem (0.1)-(0.4). Besides, this family of solving operators forms a continuous semigroup i.e.  $S(0) = Id$  and  $S(t + \tau) = S(t) \circ S(\tau)$ ,  $\forall t, \tau \geq 0$ .

**Theorem 1.4.** *Under the assumptions of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, the semigroup  $S(t)$  is dissipative on  $\Phi$ . In other words, the semigroup  $S(t)$  has a bounded absorbing set  $\mathcal{B}$  in  $\Phi$ .*

Taking into account all the above, we can now state the existence theorem of the global attractor

**Theorem 1.5.** *Under conditions of theorem 1.2 and taking into account (1.2)-(1.4). Then the semigroup  $S(t)$  defined onto  $H_0^1(\Omega) \times H^2(\Omega)$  possesses the global attractor denoted  $\mathcal{A}$  which is bounded in  $H^2(\Omega) \times H^3(\Omega)$ .*

*Proof.* For the proof of the theorems 1.1, 1.2, 1.4 and 1.5 see [14]. □

To have proved the existence of a global attractor is certainly interesting but it would be even better to be able to estimate its dimension. For that we will demonstrate the existence of an exponential attractor.

## 2 Estimation of the global attractor dimension

The notion of exponential attractor was introduced by Eden et al (see [10]) with the aim of correcting certain defects of the global attractor, in particular its speed of attraction and its robustness. To prove the existence of exponential attractor for the semigroup  $S(t)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , associated to the problem (0.1)-(0.4), we need a Holder estimate with respect to space and time and a smothing property on the semigroup. The presence of the term  $\Delta \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}$  introduce difficulty wich led us to use a trajectory (solution) decomposition argument (see [12], [15], [16]).

**Definition 2.1.** A compact set  $\mathcal{M}$  is an exponential attractor for the semi-group  $S(t)$  if :

- 1) It is of finite fractal dimension, i.e.  $\dim(\mathcal{M}) < +\infty$ ,
- 2) It is positively invariant, i.e.  $S(t)\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}$ ,  $t > 0$ ,
- 3) It exponentially attracts bounded subsets of the phase space  $\Phi$  in the following sense:  $\forall B \subset \Phi$ , bounded  $\text{dist}_\phi(S(t)B, \mathcal{M}) \leq Q(\|B\|_\phi)e^{-ct}$ ,  $c > 0$  constant and  $Q$  monotone function independent of  $B$ ,  $\text{dist}_\phi$  is the Hausdorff semi-distance between the sets.

We start with stating result that will be useful in the sequel (see [6], [10], [20]).

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $V$  and  $H$  be two Banach spaces such that  $S(t) : X \rightarrow X$  is a semigroup acting on a closed subset  $X$  of  $H$ . We assume :

- a)  $S(t)u - S(t)v = \phi^1(t) + \phi^2(t)$ ,  $\forall u, v \in X$ , where  $\|\phi^1(t)\|_X^2 \leq d(t)\|u - v\|_H^2$ ,  $d$  continuous function,  $d(t) \rightarrow 0$  quand  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $\|\phi^2(t)\|_X^2 \leq h(t)\|u - v\|_H^2$ ,  $h$  continuous function,
- b) the application  $(t, x) \mapsto S(t)x$  is lipschitz in space and Hölder in time on  $[0, T] \times B$ ,  $T > 0, \forall B \subset X$ , bounded.

Then  $S(t)$  has an exponential attractor  $\mathcal{M}$  on  $X$ .

To prove the existence of exponential attractors in our case, we will rely on theorem 2.2. We have the following result

**Theorem 2.3.** The semigroup  $S(t)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , corresponding to the problem (0.1)-(0.4) defined from  $X$  to itself satisfies a decomposition as in theorem 2.2, provided that  $p \leq 1$  when  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ .

*Proof.* Let  $X = \cup_{t \geq t_0} B$ ,  $B$  being the bounded absorbing set. We have  $X \subset \Phi$ . We restrict  $S(t) : X \rightarrow X$ . Let  $(u_1, \varphi_1)$  and  $(u_2, \varphi_2)$  be two trajectories of the problem (0.1)-(0.3) with initial data  $(u_{01}, \varphi_{01})$  and  $(u_{02}, \varphi_{02})$ . We pose  $u = u_1 - u_2$ ,  $\varphi = \varphi_1 - \varphi_2$ ,  $u_0 = u_{01} - u_{02}$ ,  $\varphi_0 = \varphi_{01} - \varphi_{02}$ . Thus  $(u, \varphi)$  verifies :

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u + f(u_1) - f(u_2) = \varphi - \Delta \varphi, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} - \Delta \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} - \Delta \varphi = -\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, \quad (2.2)$$

$$u = \varphi = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \quad (2.3)$$

$$u|_{t=0} = u_0, \varphi|_{t=0} = \varphi_0. \quad (2.4)$$

Subsequently, we decompose  $(u, \varphi)$  as follows  $(u, \varphi) = (v, \zeta) + (w, \rho)$  where  $(v, \zeta)$  is a solution of :

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - \Delta v = \zeta - \Delta \zeta, \quad (2.5)$$

$$\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t} - \Delta \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t} - \Delta \zeta = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}, \quad (2.6)$$

$$v = \zeta = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \quad (2.7)$$

$$v|_{t=0} = u_0, \zeta|_{t=0} = \varphi_0. \quad (2.8)$$

and  $(w, \rho)$  satisfies :

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} - \Delta w + f(u_1) - f(u_2) = \rho - \Delta \rho, \quad (2.9)$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} - \Delta \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} - \Delta \rho = -\frac{\partial w}{\partial t}, \quad (2.10)$$

$$w = \rho = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \quad (2.11)$$

$$w(0) = \rho(0) = 0. \quad (2.12)$$

Multiply (2.5) and (2.6) by  $\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}$  and  $(\zeta - \Delta\zeta)$  respectively. Then by summing them and integrating over  $\Omega$ , we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} (\|\nabla v\|^2 + \|\zeta\|^2 + 2\|\nabla\zeta\|^2 + \|\Delta\zeta\|^2) + 2 \left( \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \|\nabla\zeta\|^2 + \|\Delta\zeta\|^2 \right) = 0. \quad (2.13)$$

Now, multiplying (2.5) by  $v$ , then integrating through  $\Omega$

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|v\|^2 + 2\|\nabla v\|^2 = \int_{\Omega} (\zeta - \Delta\zeta)v \, dx. \quad (2.14)$$

From (2.14), we get the inequality

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|v\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2 \leq c\|\nabla\zeta\|^2. \quad (2.15)$$

Multiply (2.15) by  $\varepsilon$  with  $\varepsilon > 0$  small enough, then summing with (2.13), we arrive at the inequality

$$\frac{d}{dt} (\varepsilon\|v\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2 + \|\zeta\|^2 + 2\|\nabla\zeta\|^2 + \|\Delta\zeta\|^2) + \varepsilon\|\nabla v\|^2 + 2(\|\nabla\zeta\|^2 + \|\Delta\zeta\|^2) + 2\left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \leq c\|\nabla\zeta\|^2. \quad (2.16)$$

Let's put

$$E = \varepsilon\|v\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2 + \|\zeta\|^2 + 2\|\nabla\zeta\|^2 + \|\Delta\zeta\|^2. \quad (2.17)$$

By choosing  $\varepsilon$  such that  $2 - \varepsilon c > 0$ , we obtain

$$\frac{dE}{dt} + \varepsilon\|\nabla v\|^2 + (2 - \varepsilon c)\|\nabla\zeta\|^2 + 2\|\Delta\zeta\|^2 + 2\left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \leq 0. \quad (2.18)$$

Now, using Poincaré's inequality, we have

$$E = \varepsilon\|v\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2 + \|\zeta\|^2 + 2\|\nabla\zeta\|^2 + \|\Delta\zeta\|^2 \leq c (\|\nabla v\|^2 + \|\nabla\zeta\|^2 + \|\Delta\zeta\|^2). \quad (2.19)$$

From (2.18)-(2.19), the following inequation can be derived

$$\frac{dE}{dt} + cE + 2\left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \leq 0. \quad (2.20)$$

And so in particular

$$\frac{dE}{dt} + cE \leq 0. \quad (2.21)$$

Multiplying (2.21) by  $e^{ct}$ , we obtain

$$\frac{dE}{dt} e^{ct} + cE e^{ct} \leq 0. \quad (2.22)$$

Let

$$E(t) + \leq E(0)e^{-ct}. \quad (2.23)$$

However, we have

$$c(\|v(t)\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\zeta(t)\|_{H^2}^2) \leq E(t) \leq c_1(\|v(t)\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\zeta(t)\|_{H^2}^2). \quad (2.24)$$

Hence, using (2.23), we have

$$\|v(t)\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\zeta(t)\|_{H^2}^2 \leq d(t)(\|u_0\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\varphi_0\|_{H^2}^2), \quad (2.25)$$

with  $d(t) = e^{-ct}$ .

In the same way, Multiplying (2.9) and (2.10) by  $\frac{\partial w}{\partial t}$  and  $(\rho - \Delta\rho)$  respectively, then summing and integrating through  $\Omega$ , we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|w\|^2 + \|\rho\|^2 + \|\nabla\rho\|^2 + \|\Delta\rho\|^2) + \left\| \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \|\nabla\rho\|^2 + \|\Delta\rho\|^2 = - \int_{\Omega} (f(u_1) - f(u_2)) \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} dx. \quad (2.26)$$

However, we have

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} (f(u_1) - f(u_2)) \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} dx \right| \leq \int_{\Omega} f'(\theta(u_1, u_2)) |u_1 - u_2| \left| \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right| dx. \quad (2.27)$$

Using (1.2) in (2.27), we get

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} (f(u_1) - f(u_2)) \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} dx \right| \leq c \int_{\Omega} (|u_1|^{2p} + |u_2|^{2p} + 1) |u| \left| \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right| dx. \quad (2.28)$$

The Hölder inequality is then used to establish that ( $i = 1, 2, p = 1$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |u_i|^{2p} |u| \left| \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right| dx &\leq \left( \int_{\Omega} |u_i|^{6p} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |u|^6 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{6}} \left( \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \|u_i\|_{L^{6p}}^{2p} \|u\|_{L^6} \left\| \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \|u_i\|_{H^1}^{4p} \|u\|_{H^1}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

Taking into account (2.28)-(2.29), the equality (2.26) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|w\|^2 + \|\rho\|^2 + \|\nabla\rho\|^2 + \|\Delta\rho\|^2) + \left\| \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \|\nabla\rho\|^2 + \|\Delta\rho\|^2 \\ \leq c(\|u_1\|_{H^1}^q + \|u_2\|_{H^1}^q + 1) \|u\|_{H^1}, \quad q \geq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.30)$$

This time, multiplying (2.9) by  $w$  and integrating over  $\Omega$ , we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|w\|^2 + \|\Delta w\|^2 + \int_{\Omega} (f(u_1) - f(u_2)) w dx = \int_{\Omega} (\rho - \Delta\rho) w dx. \quad (2.31)$$

By similarity of the calculations made in (2.27)-(2.29), we obtain

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} (f(u_1) - f(u_2)) w dx \right| \leq c(\|u_1\|_{H^1}^q + \|u_2\|_{H^1}^q + 1) \|u\|_{H^1}, \quad q \geq 1. \quad (2.32)$$

Also, using the Schwarz and Poincaré formulas

$$\int_{\Omega} (\rho - \Delta\rho) w dx = \int_{\Omega} \rho w dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla\rho \nabla w dx \leq c\|\nabla\rho\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla w\|^2. \quad (2.33)$$

Using (2.32)-(2.33) in (2.31), we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|w\|^2 + \|\Delta w\|^2 \leq c(\|u_1\|_{H^1}^q + \|u_2\|_{H^1}^q + 1) \|u\|_{H^1}^2 + c_1 \|\nabla\rho\|^2. \quad (2.34)$$

Also, multiplying (2.9) by  $-\Delta w$  and integrating over  $\Omega$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla w\|^2 + \|\Delta w\|^2 - \int_{\Omega} (f(u_1) - f(u_2)) \Delta w dx = - \int_{\Omega} (\rho - \Delta\rho) \Delta w dx. \quad (2.35)$$

By similarity of the calculations made in (2.27)-(2.29), we obtain

$$|\int_{\Omega} (f(u_1) - f(u_2))\Delta w \, dx| \leq c(\|u_1\|_{H^1}^q + \|u_2\|_{H^1}^q + 1)\|u\|_{H^1}^2 + \frac{1}{4}\|\Delta w\|^2, \quad (2.36)$$

$$|\int_{\Omega} (\rho - \Delta\rho)w \, dx| \leq c(\|\nabla\rho\|^2 + \|\Delta\rho\|^2) + \frac{1}{4}\|\Delta w\|^2. \quad (2.37)$$

Taking into account (2.36)-(2.37) in (2.35), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla w\|^2 + \|\Delta w\|^2 \leq c(\|u_1\|_{H^1}^q + \|u_2\|_{H^1}^q + 1)\|u\|_{H^1}^2 + c_1(\|\nabla\rho\|^2 + \|\Delta\rho\|^2). \quad (2.38)$$

Also, multiplying (2.9) by  $-\Delta \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}$  and integrating over  $\Omega$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta w\|^2 + \|\nabla \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}\|^2 - \int_{\Omega} (f(u_1) - f(u_2))\Delta \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \, dx = - \int_{\Omega} (\rho - \Delta\rho)\Delta \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \, dx. \quad (2.39)$$

However, we have

$$- \int_{\Omega} (\rho - \Delta\rho)\Delta \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \, dx = - \int_{\Omega} \rho\Delta \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \Delta\rho\Delta \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \, dx. \quad (2.40)$$

In addition

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_{\Omega} (f(u_1) - f(u_2))\Delta \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \, dx &= \int_{\Omega} \nabla(f(u_1) - f(u_2))\nabla \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \, dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (f'(u_1)\nabla u_1 - f'(u_2)\nabla u_2)\nabla \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \, dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.41)$$

However, we have

$$f'(u_1)\nabla u_1 - f'(u_2)\nabla u_2 = (f'(u_1) - f'(u_2))\nabla u_1 + f'(u_2)\nabla u. \quad (2.42)$$

Taking into account (2.42), (2.1) then becomes

$$|\int_{\Omega} (f(u_1) - f(u_2))\Delta \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \, dx| \leq c \int_{\Omega} (|u_1|^{2p} + |u_2|^{2p} + 1)|u|\|\nabla u_1\|\|\nabla \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}\| \, dx. \quad (2.43)$$

Since  $H^2(\Omega)$  is continuous embeddings into  $L^\infty(\Omega)$  ( $\Omega$  bounded set), (2.43) gives

$$|\int_{\Omega} (f(u_1) - f(u_2))\Delta \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \, dx| \leq c(\|u_1\|_{H^2}^2 + \|u_2\|_{H^2}^2 + 1)\|u\|_{H^1}\|u_1\|_{H^2} + \|\nabla \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}\|. \quad (2.44)$$

Now, by multiplying (2.10) by  $\Delta^2\rho$  and integrating through  $\Omega$ , we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta\rho|^2 \, dx + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla\Delta\rho|^2 \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla\Delta\rho|^2 \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \nabla \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \nabla\Delta\rho \, dx. \quad (2.45)$$

Hence

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\Delta\rho\|^2 + \|\nabla\Delta\rho\|^2) + \|\nabla\Delta\rho\|^2 = \int_{\Omega} \nabla \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \nabla\Delta\rho \, dx. \quad (2.46)$$

Similarly, by multiplying (2.10) by  $-\Delta \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}$  and then integrating through  $\Omega$ , we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta w\|^2 + \|\nabla \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}\|^2 - \int_{\Omega} (f(u_1) - f(u_2))\Delta \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \, dx = \int_{\Omega} (\rho - \Delta\rho)\Delta \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \, dx. \quad (2.47)$$

By summing (2.46) and (2.47), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\Delta w\|^2 + \|\Delta\rho\|^2 + \|\nabla\Delta\rho\|^2) + \|\nabla \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}\|^2 + \|\nabla\Delta\rho\|^2 \\ &- \int_{\Omega} (f(u_1) - f(u_2))\Delta \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \, dx = - \int_{\Omega} (\rho - \Delta\rho)\Delta \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \nabla\Delta\rho \, dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.48)$$

Now,

$$\int_{\Omega} (f(u_1) - f(u_2)) \Delta \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} = - \int_{\Omega} (\rho - \Delta \rho) \Delta \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \nabla \Delta \rho dx. \quad (2.49)$$

In the same way, multiplying (2.9) by  $\frac{\partial w}{\partial t}$  and integrating over  $\Omega$ , we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla w\|^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \int_{\Omega} (f(u_1) - f(u_2)) \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} dx = \int_{\Omega} (\rho - \Delta \rho) \Delta \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} dx. \quad (2.50)$$

Multiplying (2.10) by  $\rho - \Delta \rho$  and integrating over  $\Omega$ , we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\rho\|^2 + 2\|\nabla \rho\|^2 + \|\Delta \rho\|^2) + \|\nabla \rho\|^2 + \|\Delta \rho\|^2 = - \int_{\Omega} (\rho - \Delta \rho) \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} dx. \quad (2.51)$$

Now, adding (2.50) and (2.51), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\nabla w\|^2 + \|\rho\|^2 + 2\|\nabla \rho\|^2 + \|\Delta \rho\|^2) \\ & + \left\| \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \|\nabla \rho\|^2 + \|\Delta \rho\|^2 = - \int_{\Omega} (f(u_1) - f(u_2)) \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.52)$$

However, we have, owing to (1.2)

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega} (f(u_1) - f(u_2)) \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} dx \right| & \leq \int_{\Omega} |f'(\theta(u_1, u_2))| |u_1 - u_2| \left| \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right| dx \\ & \leq c \int_{\Omega} (|u_1|^{2p} + |u_2|^{2p} + 1) |u| \left| \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right| dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.53)$$

If  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ , we have using, Holder's, Young's inequalities, sobolev embedding and noticing that  $p \leq 1$ , in that case (we will take  $p = 1$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |u_i|^{2p} |u| \left| \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right| dx & \leq \left( \int_{\Omega} |u_i|^{6p} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |u|^6 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{6}} \left( \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad i = 1, 2 \\ & \leq \|u_i\|_{L^{6p}(\Omega)}^{2p} \|u\|_{L^6(\Omega)} \left\| \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right\| \\ & \leq c \|u_i\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \left\| \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right\|, \quad (H^1(\Omega) \subset L^6(\Omega)) \\ & \leq c \|u_i\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^4 \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{6} \left\| \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.54)$$

Considering (2.53) and (2.54), the equality (2.52) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\nabla w\|^2 + \|\rho - \Delta \rho\|^2) + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \|\nabla \rho\|^2 + \|\Delta \rho\|^2 \\ & \leq c (\|u_1\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^4 + \|u_2\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^4 + 1) \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.55)$$

Multiplying (2.9) by  $w$  and by integrate over  $\Omega$

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|w\|^2 + \|\nabla w\|^2 + \int_{\Omega} (f(u_1) - f(u_2)) w dx = \int_{\Omega} \rho w dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla \rho \nabla w dx. \quad (2.56)$$

Using Poincaré's, Cauchy-Schwartz's and Young's inequalities, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega} \rho w dx \right| & \leq \int_{\Omega} |\rho| |w| dx \\ & \leq c \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \rho| |\nabla w| dx \\ & \leq c \|\nabla \rho\|^2 + \frac{1}{6} \|\nabla w\|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (2.57)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega} \nabla \rho \nabla w \, dx \right| &\leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \rho| |\nabla w| \, dx \\ &\leq c' \|\nabla \rho\|^2 + \frac{1}{6} \|\nabla w\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.58)$$

Collecting (2.56)-(2.58), we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|w\|^2 + \frac{2}{3} \|\nabla w\|^2 + \int_{\Omega} (f(u_1) - f(u_2))w \, dx \leq c \|\nabla \rho\|^2. \quad (2.59)$$

Now, If  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ , we take  $p = 1$  (actually,  $p \leq 1$ , in that case), Holder's inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned} \left| - \int_{\Omega} (f(u_1) - f(u_2))w \, dx \right| &\leq \int_{\Omega} |f(u_1) - f(u_2)| |w| \, dx \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} |f'(\theta(u_1, u_2))| |u_1 - u_2| |w| \, dx \\ &\leq c \int_{\Omega} (|u_1|^{2p} + |u_2|^{2p} + 1) |u| |w| \, dx \\ &\leq c (\|u_1\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|u_2\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + 1) \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \|w\|. \end{aligned} \quad (2.60)$$

Applying Poincaré's and Young's inequalities, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega} (f(u_1) - f(u_2))w \, dx \right| &\leq c (\|u_1\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|u_2\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + 1) \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \|w\| \\ &\leq c (\|u_1\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|u_2\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + 1) \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \|\nabla w\| \\ &\leq c (\|u_1\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^4 + \|u_2\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^4 + 1) \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{6} \|\nabla w\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.61)$$

Taking into account (2.61), estimate (2.59) becomes

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|w\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla w\|^2 \leq c (\|u_1\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^4 + \|u_2\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^4 + 1) \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + c' \|\nabla \rho\|^2. \quad (2.62)$$

Adding (2.55) and  $\epsilon_1(2.62)$ , where  $\epsilon_1 > 0$  is small enough, we obtain

$$\frac{dE_1}{dt} + c(\|\nabla \rho\|^2 + \|\Delta \rho\|^2) + \|\nabla w\|^2 + \|\Delta \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}\|^2 \leq c' (\|u_1\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^4 + \|u_2\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^4 + 1) \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2, \quad (2.63)$$

where  $E_1 = \epsilon_1 \|w\|^2 + \|\nabla w\|^2 + \|\rho - \Delta \rho\|^2$ , satisfies

$$c(\|w\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|\rho\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2) \leq E_1 \leq c' (\|w\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|\rho\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2). \quad (2.64)$$

Thus, (2.63) becomes

$$\frac{dE_1}{dt} + cE_1 + \left\| \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \leq c' (\|u_1\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^4 + \|u_2\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^4 + 1) \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2. \quad (2.65)$$

Now, multiplying (2.9) by  $-\Delta w$  and integrating over  $\Omega$ , one has

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla w\|^2 + \|\Delta w\|^2 = \int_{\Omega} (f(u_1) - f(u_2)) \Delta w \, dx \int_{\Omega} \nabla \rho \nabla w \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \Delta \rho \Delta w \, dx. \quad (2.66)$$

Thanks to (1.2), we have

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} (f(u_1) - f(u_2)) \Delta w \, dx \right| \leq c \int_{\Omega} (|u_1|^{2p} + |u_2|^{2p} + 1) |u| |\Delta w| \, dx. \quad (2.67)$$

If  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $p \leq 1$  in that case, we take  $p = 1$  and then have thanks to Holder's and Young's inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} (|u_1|^{2p} + |u_2|^{2p} + 1)|u| |\Delta w| dx &\leq c(\|u_1\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|u_2\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + 1)\|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}\|\Delta w\| \\ &\leq c(\|u_1\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^4 + \|u_2\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^4 + 1)\|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{4}\|\Delta w\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.68)$$

Finally, we are led to an inequality of the type

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla w\|^2 + \|\Delta w\|^2 \leq c(\|u_1\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^4 + \|u_2\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^4 + 1)\|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + c'(\|\nabla \rho\|^2 + \|\Delta \rho\|^2). \quad (2.69)$$

Adding (2.65) and  $\epsilon_2$  (2.69), with  $\epsilon_2 > 0$  is small enough. We find

$$\frac{dE_2}{dt} + cE_2 + \|\Delta w\|^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \leq c'(\|u_1\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^4 + \|u_2\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^4 + 1)\|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2. \quad (2.70)$$

where  $E_2 = E_1 + \epsilon_2 \|\nabla w\|^2$  satisfies an estimates similar to (2.64).

Multiplying (2.9) by  $-\Delta \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}$  and integrating over  $\Omega$ , we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta w\|^2 + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right\|^2 = \int_{\Omega} (f(u_1) - f(u_2)) \Delta \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla \rho \nabla \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} dx + \int_{\Omega} \Delta \rho \Delta \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} dx. \quad (2.71)$$

Multiplying (2.10) by  $\Delta^2 \rho$  and integrating over  $\Omega$ , we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\Delta \rho\|^2 + \|\nabla \Delta \rho\|^2) + \|\nabla \Delta \rho\|^2 = - \int_{\Omega} \Delta \rho \Delta \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} dx. \quad (2.72)$$

Adding (2.71) and (2.72), we find

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\Delta w\|^2 + \|\Delta \rho\|^2 + \|\nabla \Delta \rho\|^2) + \|\nabla \Delta \rho\|^2 + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (f(u_1) - f(u_2)) \Delta \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla \rho \nabla \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.73)$$

However,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} (f(u_1) - f(u_2)) \Delta \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} dx &= - \int_{\Omega} \nabla (f(u_1) - f(u_2)) \nabla \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} dx \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} (f'(u_1) \nabla u_1 - f'(u_2) \nabla u_2) \nabla \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} dx \end{aligned} \quad (2.74)$$

Furthermore,

$$f'(u_1) \nabla u_1 - f'(u_2) \nabla u_2 = (f'(u_1) - f'(u_2)) \nabla u_1 + f'(u_2) \nabla u \quad (2.75)$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega} (f(u_1) - f(u_2)) \Delta \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} dx \right| &\leq \int_{\Omega} |f'(u_1) - f'(u_2)| |\nabla u_1| \left| \nabla \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right| dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} |f'(u_2)| |\nabla u| \left| \nabla \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right| dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.76)$$

We then deduce, thanks to (1.2), Holder's and Young's inequalities that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega} |f'(u_1) - f'(u_2)| |\nabla u_1| \left| \nabla \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right| dx \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} |f'(\theta(u_1, u_2))| |u_1 - u_2| |\nabla u_1| \left| \nabla \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right| dx \\ &\leq c(\|u_1\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{2p} + \|u_2\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{2p} + 1) \int_{\Omega} |u| |\nabla u_1| \left| \nabla \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right| dx \\ &\leq c(\|u_1\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^4 + \|u_2\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^4 + 1)\|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \|u_1\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{6} \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.77)$$

Analogously, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\Omega} |f'(u_2)| |\nabla u| \left| \nabla \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right| dx \\
 & \leq \int_{\Omega} (|u_2|^{2p} + 1) |\nabla u| \left| \nabla \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right| dx \\
 & \leq c(\|u_2\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{2p} + 1) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u| \left| \nabla \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right| dx \\
 & \leq c(\|u_1\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^4 + \|u_2\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^4 + 1) \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{6} \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right\|^2
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.78}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \int_{\Omega} \nabla \rho \nabla \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} dx \right| & \leq \left| \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \rho| \left| \nabla \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right| dx \right| \\
 & \leq \|\nabla \rho\| \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right\| \\
 & \leq c \|\nabla \rho\|^2 + \frac{1}{6} \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right\|^2
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.79}$$

Collecting estimates (2.73)-(2.79), we finally get an inequality of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\Delta w\|^2 + \|\Delta \rho\|^2 + \|\nabla \Delta \rho\|^2) + \|\nabla \Delta \rho\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \\
 & \leq c(\|u_1\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^\beta + \|u_2\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^\beta + 1) \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + c' \|\nabla \rho\|^2, \beta \geq 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.80}$$

The addition of (2.70) and  $\epsilon_3$ (2.80), for  $\epsilon_3 > 0$  small enough, gives

$$\frac{dE_3}{dt} + cE_3 + \left\| \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \leq c'(\|u_1\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^\gamma + \|u_2\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^\gamma + 1) \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2, \gamma \geq 0. \tag{2.81}$$

where  $E_3 = E_2 + \epsilon_3(\|\Delta w\|^2 + \|\Delta \rho\|^2 + \|\nabla \Delta \rho\|^2)$  satisfies

$$c(\|w\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\rho\|_{H^3(\Omega)}^2) \leq E_3 \leq c'(\|w\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\rho\|_{H^3(\Omega)}^2). \tag{2.82}$$

We deduce, thanks to Gronwall's lemma and (2.82), that

$$\|w(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\rho(t)\|_{H^3(\Omega)}^2 \leq h(t)(\|u_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|\varphi_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2), \forall t \in [0, T], \tag{2.83}$$

where  $h(t) = c' \int_0^t e^{c(t-s)} (\|u_1\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^\gamma + \|u_2\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^\gamma + 1) ds$ . □

**Theorem 2.4.** *The semi-group  $S(t)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , generated by the problem (2.9)-(2.12) is a Lipschitz in space and Holder in time on  $[0, T] \times B$  where  $B \subset H^2(\Omega) \times H^3(\Omega)$  is a bounded.*

*Proof.* The Lipschitz continuity in space being a consequence of the result on the uniqueness of solutions obtained in [], it just remains to prove a holder condition in time for the semigroup  $S(t)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ . Let initial data belong to  $B$ , i.e. let  $R > 0$  be fixed, such that  $\|u_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|\varphi_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq R$ . Thus, for all  $t_1 \geq 0$  and  $t_2 \geq 0$ , two different times, thanks to estimates on  $\left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2$  and  $\left\| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \right\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2$  and Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|S(t_1)(u_0, \varphi_0) - S(t_2)(u_0, \varphi_0)\|_{H^1(\Omega) \times H^2(\Omega)} & = \|u(t_1) - u(t_2), \varphi(t_1) - \varphi(t_2)\|_{H^1(\Omega) \times H^2(\Omega)} \\
 & = \|u(t_1) - u(t_2)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|\varphi(t_1) - \varphi(t_2)\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \\
 & \leq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left\| \frac{\partial u(\tau)}{\partial t} \right\|_{H^1(\Omega)} d\tau + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left\| \frac{\partial \varphi(\tau)}{\partial t} \right\|_{H^2(\Omega)} d\tau \\
 & \leq c|t_1 - t_2|^{\frac{1}{2}},
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.84}$$

where  $c$  depends on  $T > 0$  and  $R$ . □

We deduce from theorem 2.3 and theorem 2.4, the following result

**Theorem 2.5.** *The dynamical system  $(S(t), X) t \geq 0$ , associated to the problem (2.9)-(2.12) possesses an exponential attractor noted  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ .*

**Remark 2.6.** *Compared with the global attractor, an exponential attractor is expected to be more robust under perturbations. Indeed, the rate of attraction of trajectories towards the global attractor can be slow and it is extremely difficult, even impossible, to estimate this rate of attraction according to the physical parameters of the problem in general. As a result of global attractor may change drastically under small perturbations.*

**Corollary 2.7.** *The semigroup  $S(t) t \geq 0$ , possesses the finite-dimension global attractor  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $X$ .*

**Remark 2.8.** *The finite-dimensionality means, basically, that, although the initial phase space is infinite-dimensional, the reduced dynamics is, in an appropriate sense, finite-dimensional and can be described by a finite number of parameter.*

## Conclusion

In this work, we demonstrated that the attractor of the dynamical system we studied is of finite dimension by showing that the dynamical system has a exponential attractor. For the future, it would be interesting to determine precisely the value of the dimension of the attractor. There are many techniques for determining the dimension of an attractor. These include methods derived from the statistical theory of extreme values, whose estimates of the local fractal dimension are becoming increasingly reliable.

## References

- [1] A. V. BABIN AND B. NICOLAENKO, *Exponentially attractors of reaction-diffusion systems in an unbounded domain.*, J. DYNAM. DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS. 7(4) (1995) 567-590.
- [2] A. V. BABIN AND M. I. VISHIK, *Attractors of evolution equations.* NORTH-HOLLAND, AMSTERDAM (1992)
- [3] D. BROCHET, X. CHEN AND D. HILHORST, *Finite-dimensional exponential attractors for the phase-field model*, APPL. ANAL. 49 (1993) 197-212.
- [4] D. BROCHET, X. AND D. HILHORST, *Universal attractors and inertial sets for the phase-field model*, APPL. ANAL. LETT. 4(6) (1991) 59-62.
- [5] D. BROCHET, D. HILHORST AND A. NOVICK-COHEN *Finite-dimensional exponential attractors for a model for order-disorder and phase separation*, APPL. MATH. LETT., 7(3): 83-87, 1994.

- [6] M. CONTI, S. GATTI AND A. MIRANVILLE, *Asymptotic behavior of the Caginalp phase-field system with coupled dynamic boundary conditions*, DISCRETE CONTI. DYN. SYST. SER. 55(3) (2012) 485-505.
- [7] X. DONG, S. MAGUIRE, A. MALONEY AND H. MAXFIELD *Phase transition in three D gravity and fractal dimension*, J. HIGH ENERG. PHYS., 80 (2018). [HTTPS://DOI.ORG/10.1007/JHEP05\(2018\)080](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2018)080).
- [8] B. L. DOUMBÉ BANGOLA, *Global and exponential attractors for a Caginalp type phase-field problem*, CENT. EUR. J. MATH., 11(9) (2013) 1651-1676.
- [9] B. L. DOUMBÉ BANGOLA, *Étude de modèles de champ de phase de type Caginalp*, PHD THESIS, UNIVERSITÉ DE POITIERS, 2013
- [10] A. EDEN, C. FOIAS, B. NICOLAENKO AND R. TEMAM, *Exponential attractors for dissipative evolution equations*, VOLUME 37 OF RAM: RESEARCH AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS. MASSON, PARIS, 1994.
- [11] P. FABRICE, S. GATTI AND A. MIRANVILLE, *Exponential attractors for a partially dissipative reaction system*. ASYMPTOTIC ANAL. 12(24) (1996): 329-354.
- [12] M. EFENDIEV , A. MIRANVILLE AND S. ZELIK, *Exponential attractors for a nonlinear reaction-diffusion system in  $\mathbb{R}^3$* , C. R. ACAD. SCI. PARIS. 330, SÉRIE I, P. 713-718, 2000.
- [13] M. FERNANDEZ-MARTÍNEZ, J. L. GARCÍA GUIRAO, M. A. SANCHEZ-GRANERO AND J. E. TRINIDAD SEGOVIA, *Hausdorff Dimension Type Models for Fractal Structures. In: Fractal Dimension for Fractal Structures*. SEMA SIMAI SPRINGER SERIES, VOL 19. SPRINGER, CHAM. [HTTPS://DOI.ORG/10.1007/978-3-030-16645-8-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-16645-8-4).
- [14] M. A. IPOPA, B. L. DOUMBE BANGOLA, AND A. ANDAMI OVONO, *Attractors and Numerical Simulations for a Two-Temperature Phase Transition System.*, JOURNAL OF ADVANCES IN MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE. 37 (12):99-116,(2022). [HTTPS://DOI.ORG/10.9734/JAMCS/2022/V37I121732](https://doi.org/10.9734/JAMCS/2022/V37I121732).
- [15] J. D. JIN, *Existence of exponential attractors for the coupled system of suspension bridge equations*, BOUND VALUE PROBL 2023, 111 (2023). [HTTPS://DOI.ORG/10.1186/s13661-023-01801-7](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13661-023-01801-7).
- [16] G. LIN, X. LIU, *The Family of Exponential Attractors and Inertial Manifolds for a Generalized Nonlinear Kirchhoff Equations*, J. APPL. MATH. PHYS. 10 (2022). DOI: 10.4236/JAMP.2022.101013.
- [17] A. MIRANVILLE, *Exponential attractors for a class of evolution equations by a decomposition method*, C. R. ACAD. SCI. PARIS SÉR. I MATH., 328(2) (1999): 145-150.
- [18] A. MIRANVILLE, *Exponential attractors for a class of evolution equations by a decomposition method II the nonautonomous case.*, C. R. ACAD. SCI. PARIS SÉR. I MATH., 328(10): 907-912, 1999.
- [19] A. MIRANVILLE AND S. ZELIK, *Robust exponential attractors for singularly perturbed phase-field type equations*, ELECTRON. J. DIFF. EQU. 2002 (2002) 1-28.
- [20] A. MIRANVILLE, S. ZELIK, *Attractors for dissipative partial differential equations in bounded and unbounded domains*, IN: C.M. DAFERMOS, M. POKORNY (EDS.), IN: HANDBOOK OF DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS, EVOLUTIONARY PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS, ELSEVIER, AMSTERDAM, 2008.
- [21] G. MOLA, *Stability of global and exponential attractors for a three-dimensional conserved phase-field system with memory*, MATH. METH. APPL. SCI. 32(18) (2009): 2368-2404.
- [22] E. ROCCA AND G. SCHIMPERNA, *Global attractor for parabolic-hyperbolic penrose-fife phase field system*, DISCRETE CONTIN. DYN. SYST. 3 15(4) (2006):1193-1214.
- [23] R. TEMAM, *Infinite-dimensional Dynamical Systems in Mechanics and Physics, second edition*, IN: APPLIED MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, VOL. 68, SPRINGER-VERLAG, NEW YORK, 1997.