

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_122714
Title of the Manuscript:	SOCIOLOGICAL AND ECONOMICAL IMPACT OF GRAPES GROWERS IN DINDIGUL DISTRICT, TAMIL NADU
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript holds significant importance for the scientific community as it contributes valuable insights to the ongoing body of research in its respective field. It presents findings that can enhance our understanding, open new avenues for investigation. By addressing key knowledge gaps or providing innovative solutions, the work can inspire further research.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Missing the Research Methodology, Data collection techniques	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The authors have employed appropriate experimental designs, data collection techniques, and statistical analyses, ensuring the validity and reproducibility of their findings. The manuscript includes comprehensive literature review and contextualization, which strengthens the relevance and alignment of the study within the existing body of knowledge.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	This section should be improve	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language and English quality of the article are suitable for scholarly communications. The manuscript is written in a clear, concise, and formal tone, which is appropriate for academic discourse. Typo error should be improve	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The introduction effectively frames the research problem and its significance. However, further elaboration on the objectives in the introduction might enhance reader engagement. 2. Expanding the discussion on how this study addresses specific gaps in the literature could further clarify the contribution of the work. 3. The language is clear and precise, suitable for scholarly communication. Minor grammatical improvements or simplifications in certain technical sections could further enhance readability. 	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Praveen Goyal
Department, University & Country	SAGE University, India