

ReviewForm3

JournalName:	JournalofAdvancesinBiology&Biotechnology
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_JABB_123431
Titleof theManuscript:	EggParasitoidsofHemipteranInsects:Aspecialaccounton Scelionidae(Platygastroidea:Hymenoptera)
Typeof the Article	ReviewArticle

PART1: ReviewComments

Compulsory REVISIONcomments	Reviewer'scomment	Author'sFeedback (Pleasecorrectthemanuscriptandhighlightthatpartinthemanuscript.Itismandatorythatauthorsshouldwritehis/herfeedbackhere)
Pleasewriteafew sentencesregardingtheimportance ofthismanuscriptfor thescientificcommunity.Whydo youlike(or dislike)thismanuscript?Aminimumof3-4 sentencesmayberequiredforthispart.	This manuscriptdirectsthe scientific community'sattentiontoward egg parasitoids.Egg parasitoidsare a specializedgroupoflivingcreaturesthataretheeggsofvariouspestspecies.Theyhavetheunusualcapacity tocontrolthepestbeforetheemergence ofthepest'snymphs orlarvae,whichmeanstheyhavetheabilityto controlthepestbeforeitcausedamagetotheplant.Thismanuscripthascollectedinformation aboutegg parasitoids,specificallyforHemipteraninsects.Itmaybe usefulforresearchersinterestedinthisfield.	
Isthethetitleofthearticlesuitable? (Ifnotpleasesuggestanalternativetitle)	Yesthetitleissuitableforthemanuscript	
Istheabstractofthearticlecomprehensive?Doyou suggesttheadition(ordeletion)of somepointsinthis section?Pleasewriteyoursuggestionshere.	I thinktheabstractshouldbeamendedbecauseit has morepronetointroductorypart.Itshouldbea clear summaryoftheoverallfindingsandthe significanceofthefindings.	
Are subsectionsandstructureofthemanuscript appropriate?	Yes,thesubsectionsandstructureareappropriate.	
Pleasewriteafew sentencesregardingthescientific correctnessofthismanuscript.Whydoyouthinkthat thismanuscriptis scientificallyrobustandtechnically	Themanuscriptisscientificalllyrobustandtechnicallysound.Thefindingsoffersignificantinformationonegg parasitoids ofHemipteran insectsandhighlighttheScelionidae.However,theabstractcouldbeamendedby includingtheoverallfindings. Theintroductioncouldbeimproved byminimizingtherepetitionandmaintaining theflowtowardnarrowingdownthetopic.	
Arethereferencesufficientandrecent?If youhave suggestionsofadditionalreferences,pleasemention theminthereviewform. -	Thereferencesare generallyadequate,howevertheymightbe updatedwithmorerecentstudiesbecausesomeof themareoutofdate(somereferencesintheintroductionarefrom1982,1979,and1988),which mayenhancethe credibilityofyourwork.	
Minor REVISIONcomments Isthelanguage/Englishqualityofthearticlesuitable forscholarlycommunications?	The languagequalityisusuallyacceptable,howeverminorgrammarandpunctuationissueshouldbefixedto improvethemanuscript'sclarity.	
Optional/General comments	Iwouldsupportthepublicationofthisarticleafteramendments.	

ReviewForm3
PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. A Asiri Singhamuni
Department, University & Country	University of Malaya, Malaysia