

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_123431
Title of the Manuscript:	Egg Parasitoids of Hemipteran Insects: A special account on Scelionidae (Platygastroidea: Hymenoptera)
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The major thing I appreciate about this article is its extensive insights on the potential egg parasitoids of Hemipteran insect pests, which can help advance understanding in biological management, taxonomy, ecology, and sustainable agriculture. This article proposes a sustainable and effective technique to biological management of the insect family. The lists of different parasitoids for a specific pest species will also serve as a reference to several potential studies in order to select the most effective one, as noted in the "Future studies" portion of the manuscript. Furthermore, this article advocates for the use of fewer chemical pesticides to manage bug species in agriculture fields, resulting in less bioaccumulation and biomagnification activity.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The topic of an article should provide concrete knowledge about the overall subject that the author plans to portray in the piece. In this case, the assigned topic is broad and follows the general consideration. So I decide that the topic is appropriate and relevant to the article's content</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract should be rephrased to make it shorter and more comprehensive. Some repeats have been found in the abstract section. The second sentence in the Abstract section can be omitted, as the sixth statement discusses the augmentive application of parasitoids. There is no need to offer an example in this part because it would make the entire abstract bulky. It is necessary to provide a short outline of the entire topic covered in the essay.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The subsections and structure are appropriate and suitable to the contents and the topic mentioned.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript's content is scientifically correct, robust, and technically sound because the natural parasitoids listed in Table 1 are the best biological controls available in the environment until a new mutant species with enhanced hosting ability emerges. The biology of an organism is generally unaffected by minor changes or deviations in existing settings, thus the remedies to the economic pests described here will likewise be unchanged. The information is relevant to current agricultural challenges, which have a substantial impact on the environment. However, some grammatical errors, improper word choices, and ethical issues, should be addressed through a thorough rewrite before publication.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -</p>	<p>The manuscript includes citations to several contemporary and older publications and papers. The document has 87 references, which are adequate to publish a general review article in scientific publications. But the main item that catches my attention is the citation in some sections, which I believe is incorrectly cited (APA style). For example, in the first line of the introductory section, the manuscript is referenced as "(Kataria and Kumar 2012)", which should be written as "(Kataria and Kumar, 2012)", and a manuscript is cited as "(Sundararaj et al, 2021)", which should be written as "(Sundararaj et al., 2021)". Not only that, but there are other referencing problems that require major modifications and fixes.</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language of this manuscript is simple, readable and understandable to normal readers which I think is satisfactorily eligible for scholarly communications and publications. Some of the grammatical errors have been noticed which need some minor revisions.	
Optional/General comments	It appears that parts of the article have been copied from other sources without proper citation. It is crucial to ensure that all information is properly attributed to the original authors.. Please make sure to rewrite the content in your own words or provide appropriate citations. While the topic is relevant, the organization and flow of ideas could be improved. Consider re-structuring the content to ensure that each paragraph logically connects to the next, and that the argument is presented clearly and concisely. Overall, the article has potential, but it requires substantial revision to address these issues. Taking these steps will help create a more credible, polished, and original piece.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bramha Nand Kurmi
Department, University & Country	Agriculture and Forestry University, Nepal