

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_121531
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Organic Seed Priming Formulations on Germination and Seedling Growth of Acid Lime (Citrus aurantifolia Swingle) cv. Kagzi - Unleashing the Potential
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript indicates the importance of kagzi as a citrus fruit which is a great source of Vitamin C and antioxidants. From the experiment, we can gather knowledge of how different organic priming can play an important role in the growth and development of kagzi production. So, I like this manuscript very well.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is adequate.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is properly addressed.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure of the manuscript appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript clearly states the research objectives it aims to achieve. Accurate and systematic data collection methods and statistical Analysis methods were chosen. Results and discussion compared the results with previous studies. So, the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references cover a wide range of sources, recent studies, and relevant reviews. But references (without the last 5-10 years) can be changed.	

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The manuscript has some grammatical errors, including issues with subject-verb agreement, punctuation, and sentence fragments etc.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Introduction: -The introduction part is too long. It can be concise in 300-350 words. -References should be indicated in numerical symbols like 1, 2, 3.....etc</p> <p>Materials and methods: -This section has no significant deficiency at all. But there are some suggestions for better improvement. -Numerical symbols for every point can be deleted. -In the point of "Preparation of organic formulations can be written in one sentence with avoiding a, b, c.....etc. -Units and words should be the same. It can be written "litre" no "ltr"; gm or g.....etc; "cow urine" no "cowurine".....etc. - Treatments can be written in one sentence; it can be checked the T3- cow urine 100% "T" -It can be placed full stop where necessary and can go through the text again. - It should be checked at the data collection point. In the formula of $G.I = n/D$, D letter is not matched with "Where d= days after sowing" and reference can be indicated as 1,2.....etc - This part can be slightly concise if needed.</p> <p>Results and discussion: -The results and discussion parts are clearly presented and addressed. The discussion part can be concise if needed.</p> <p>Conclusion -Clear and concise conclusions that summarize the findings and their implications.</p> <p>Reference -It should be written according to the journal format and the author's name (spelling) can be checked properly.</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Md. Sohrab Hossain</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Hajee Mohammad Denesh Science and Technology University, Bangladesh</p>