

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Pathogen Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPR_122966</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Online Survey on the Acceptance of Latent Tuberculosis Testing and Isoniazid Preventive Therapy among Healthcare Workers in Nigeria</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	It is of great importance for the scientific community. The current WHO guidelines on latent tuberculosis infection take into account the probability of progression from latent TB to active TB depending on risk groups, epidemiological characteristics and disease burden, among others. Health personnel are frequently exposed to people sick with TB, especially in high-burden environments, so this document provides important information about personnel knowledge and the risk of acquiring TB, as well as the willingness to receive prophylactic treatment with isoniazid	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Yes	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	Yes	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Yes	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	A standardized survey is used in this manuscript. Additionally, it uses appropriate statistical measures to define the variables.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Yes. (17/26 bibliographies are from the last 10 years which denotes the updating of the topic)	

**Review Form 3**

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- In general, I suggest authors review the journal standards for manuscript format.</li> <li>- Some additional comments are suggested in the text</li> <li>- The author must review the acronyms and define them the first time they appear in the text (there are some comments in the revised manuscript)</li> <li>- In my opinion the results section is very extensive and sometimes repeats information. Please consider this comment.</li> <li>- In my opinion it has many tables (4) and figures (5), some of which repeat information and others that can be described in the text (for example, figure 3 and 5), please consider this comment by the author (s)</li> <li>- The results are described before the tables and figures, generally it is that way in the manuscript, but in the case of figures 2 and 3 it is before the text. Please consider this comment.</li> <li>- The references section should be reformatted according to the journal's guidelines and format. Please consider this comment.</li> <li>- The document defines that <i>Consent for publication Not applicable</i>: This means that he is only one author. Because if the manuscript has more than one author, it does not mention in the document if there is no conflict of interest between the authors.</li> </ul>	

**PART 2:**

	<p><b>Reviewer's comment</b></p>	<p><b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i></p>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<p>Name:</p>	<p><b>Maria Rosarys Martinez Romero</b></p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p><b>Institute of Tropical Medicine, Cuba</b></p>