

ReviewForm3

JournalName:	EuropeanJournalofNutrition&FoodSafety
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_EJNFS_122167
TitleoftheManuscript:	DEVELOPMENTAND CHARACTERIZATION OFANTIOXIDANT-RICH POMEGRANATE AND BEETROOTJUICE
TypeoftheArticle	OriginalResearchArticle

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript provides scientific knowledge about fruits or vegetables with potential health benefits. The juice combination could be treated more statistically. A greater discussion of the results is required, as to why they were obtained, not just presenting them and contrasting them with other scientific works similar to this manuscript. A more ad hoc scientific writing should be proposed, as in a scientific journal. 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title should be more extensive. Not only the antioxidant content was evaluated. An improved title would be: "DEVELOPMENT AND PHYSICAL, CHEMICAL AND SENSORIAL CHARACTERIZATION OF POMEGRANATE AND BEETROOT JUICE"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract could be improved by starting with the purpose of the work and weighing into account the results in each of these sections or methods used. The authors never specify what aim is?	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> It is scientifically solid due to the determination of antioxidants and the handling of combinations that were made from fruit and vegetable juices. Due to the application of the sensory panel, although the authors never specified how they were trained under what methodology. Due to the determination of anthocyanins that could explain much of the behaviour of the combination of juices, but that the authors could not explain correctly in the discussions. Due to the physical and chemical tests that were consistent at all times with the food material used. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References should be increased. Many of them are old, so it is suggested that they be updated, especially those applied to the methods for determining polyphenols, anthocyanins and antioxidant activity. The suggestion is to look for the same techniques used by searching in more recent articles.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	

ReviewForm3

Optional/General comments	The manuscript can be improved in writing in various parts of it. The manuscript is attached with comment balloons at each point and section of it. The manuscript may be accepted for publication in the journal, provided that the authors make the changes suggested by the reviewer	
----------------------------------	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Erasmo Herman-Lara
Department, University & Country	National Technological of Mexico, Mexico