

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_122618
Title of the Manuscript:	Use of Bacillus subtilis strains BK1 and BT2 in the Biocontrol Plantain (Musa paradisiaca L. corn1 variety) Spoilage fungi.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript has shed new light on the management of food, focusing on the prevention of spoilage and ensuring food security. The manuscript's findings have significant implications for the scientific community, as it will help in enhancing food safety.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is not clear enough, I would suggest "Use of <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> strains BK1 and BT2 in the Biocontrol of Fungi Spoilage in Plantain (<i>Musa paradisiaca</i> L. corn1 variety)	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The methodology part of the abstract is lacking. More information on the experimental design should be included.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	They are appropriate but they should be written in "Title cases".	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I think it is scientifically robust and technically sound as a result of the manuscript clear research question, a well-designed and executed methodology and high quality data that is presented in a clear and concise manner.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Most of the references are too old, more recent ones should be used. Also, they should include doi's, volume numbers and page numbers in most of the references.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	The author should ensure to write some abbreviations in full first before abbreviating them in the text, so readers can understand better.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Titilayo Elizabeth Adesokan
Department, University & Country	Kwara State University, Nigeria