

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Biotechnology Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJI_117233
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of bacterial biocontrol agents formulations against anthracnose of cashew (<i>Anacardium occidentale</i> L.) in Côte d'Ivoire
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, the present experiment is basic work that pave the way to discover the underpinning mechanism of both the biocontrol agent (BCA) against the described pathogen.</p> <p>2. It shall be used as a) Studies on b) Evaluation of c) Efficacy of..... formulation on Infestation.</p> <p>3. It is comprehensive but please check the values.</p> <p>4. Yes.</p> <p>5. Yes. but it could more appropriate if the author provide a) the data in tabular form with CD and CV data; b) genotype of variety of Cashew; c) pathogen identification (how it was confirmed that the pathogen is <i>Colletotrichum gloeosporioides</i>.; d) Kindly mention after how many days of pathogen inoculation the biocontrol agent was sprayed. If you want to say the reduction rate of post-treatments; e) The efficacy of pathogen to induce the disease on intact leaves, the infestation will be more on damaged leaves and a very poor infestation on intact leaves hence, in the discussion part it should be addressed; e) In discussion part should support your data and justify the research materials and methods.</p> <p>6. Some of the reference need to incorporate (mentioned in the text).</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Shall be improved. [NOTE: DATA SHOULD BE PROVIDED IN TABULAR FORM AT LEAST IN THE SUPPORTING DOCUMENT; CV AND CD SHOULD BE INCLUDED THEREIN INCLUDE THE GOOD QUALITY PICTURES]</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The quality of photos (<i>in vitro</i> bioassay) shall be improved. The plates indicate the media is not properly mixed before use (check BC1 and others; CC4 a part is opaque and another part of plate is transparent, similarly in other plates. Please, if possible, change.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chidanand A. Rabinal
Department, University & Country	Bharatiya Engineering, Science and Technology Innovation University, India