

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Annual Research &amp; Review in Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ ARRB_123260</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of Trichoderma harzianum and selected botanicals on brown leaf spot of Paddy (Oryza sativa L.) caused by Helminthosporium oryzae (Breda de Haan)</b>
Type of the Article	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> ( <i>Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i> )
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>		
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>		
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>		
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>		
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>		
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>		

**Review Form 3**

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>There are some notes regarding the Materials and Methods:</p> <p>The division of this part is unclear and impractical, and we suggest writing under the following headings:          Biopesticides used:</p> <p>Botanical extracts:          Bioagent: Trichoderma harzianum          Chemical pesticides: propiconazole          Experimental design:</p> <p>parameters:</p> <p>statistical analysis</p> <p>The method of the plant part does not represent extraction but washing.          There is a mention of things that were not mentioned in this part at all, such as fungal pathogen, bioagent, chemical fungicide used          It is not clear what kind of experiment it is, whether it is in the greenhouse or the field?          There are missing matters such as the type of rice, its age, the method of inoculating the disease, application method "inoculation", and cultural practices during the experiment and when it ended?          The absence of the statistical analysis part?          Discussion parts is totally absent</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<p>Name:</p>	<p><b>Abdelhadi A.I. Ali</b></p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p><b>Zagazig University, Egypt</b></p>