

Effect of *Trichoderma harzianum* and selected botanicals on brown leaf spot of Paddy (*Oryza sativa* L.) caused by *Helminthosporium oryzae* (Breda de Haan)

Abstract

The present study was investigated to evaluate the Effect of *Trichoderma harzianum* and selected botanicals on brown leaf spot of Paddy (*Oryza sativa* L.) caused by *Helminthosporium oryzae* (Breda de Haan). Three replications of paddy were planted in a randomized block design. At the research plot of the Central Research Field, Department of Plant Pathology, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj during *Kharif* season of 2023. The minimum per cent disease intensity was recorded in T₅- *Trichoderma harzianum* @ 0.1% (Seedling dip) + garlic bulb extract @ 10% (foliar spray) (33.06) followed by by *Trichoderma harzianum* @ 0.1% (Seedling dip) + neem leaf extract @ 10% (foliar spray) (37.81). Maximum Plant height (102.34 cm), number of tillers (27.00), panicle length (20.91 cm), yield (5.13 t/ha) were found in *Trichoderma harzianum* @ 0.1% (Seedling dip) + garlic bulb extract @ 10% (foliar spray) and maximum cost benefit ratio (1: 2.67) were found in T₁- *Trichoderma harzianum* @ 0.1% (Seedling dip) + neem leaf extract @ 10% (foliar spray) when compared to untreated check.

Keywords: Brown spot, Bio agent, *Helminthosporium oryzae*, Paddy, botanicals extract.

1. Introduction

Paddy (*Oryza sativa* L.) is a staple food of 65 per cent of the total population in India. Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is a crucial staple food belonging to the grass family *Poaceae*. The cultivated rice falls under the genus *Oryza*, with approximately 24 species distributed in tropical, sub-tropical, and

Commented [Ma1]:

Abstract is the key section of the manuscript, it displays the entire research with inputs and outputs and contains logical, reasonable, discriminative studies.

So here in the abstract, only the results of the papers have been shown. The main theme and concept of the paper and conclusion are missing.

warm temperature regions worldwide. The two most commonly cultivated species are *Oryza sativa* and *Oryza glaberrima*, with *Oryza sativa* further categorized into three sub-species: *indica*, *japonica*, and *javanica*.

India is the world's second-largest rice producer after China (Gol, 2022). Rice is a staple for over 3.5 billion people globally, particularly in Asia, Latin America, and parts of Africa (National Geographic Society, 2023). Rice cultivation spans over 160 million hectares worldwide, thriving in diverse climates. In India, rice is a staple for 800 million people, contributing nearly 40% of the total food grain yield. The country dedicates 43 million hectares to rice cultivation, producing 112 million tons of milled rice with an average yield of 2.6 tons per hectare (Pathak et al., 2020). Rice is grown in almost every Indian state. Major rice-producing states were West Bengal (13.79%), Uttar Pradesh (13.34%), Andhra Pradesh (12.84%), and Punjab (11.01%) (Singh et al., 2021). Rice contributes approximately 10% to the agricultural GDP and generates 3.5 billion days of employment in India (Ahmad et al., 2017). In Uttar Pradesh, rice is a major crop, covering about 5.70 million hectares (12.29% of the total area). The state ranks second in the country for rice production, with 15.27 million tonnes produced (11.72% of the national production) and a productivity rate of around 1.94 tonnes per hectare (Agriculture Statistics at a Glance 2022). During Great Bengal Famine 1942 to 1943, 50 to 90% in yield reduced (Padmanabhan et al., 1973). It has been also reported that yield losses due to brown spot disease near about the world is 5 to 45% and in Asia losses is 6% to 90% (Aryal et al., 2016). In India estimated yield loss is about 45 percent and major producing state West Bengal is up to 29.13 percent and 44 percent were observed in Uttar Pradesh (Shivappa et al., 2021).

The yield of basmati rice in India is significantly lower compared to other developed countries around the world. This reduced production can be attributed to various biotic and abiotic factors. One of the most critical factors contributing to the low productivity of both regular and Basmati rice is brown leaf spot, caused by *Helminthosporium oryzae* (Kumar et al., 2018). Among all the diseases, brown leaf spot disease is the most destructive and widespread of basmati rice. It typically leads to yield losses of 10 to 20 percent, and in severe cases, losses can escalate to as much as 80 percent. It affects the quality and the number of grains per panicle and reduces the kernel weight (Mew et al., 2002).

Brown spot is still widely reported across India (Reddy et al., 2010) and more generally in south and South-East Asian countries (Savary et al., 2000). The pathogen attacks the crop from seedling to milk stage. The symptoms appear as minute spots on the coleoptiles, leaf blade, leaf sheath panicle branches glumes and spiklets which were appeared a minute spots on leaves typical spots were brown in colour with grey or whitish centre resembling sesame seed with typical

Commented [Ma2]: Manuscript contain some scientific naming errors, e.g.

Species name must be written with genus initials

Commented [Ma3]: Manuscript should follow the journal rules for writing references in the paper

yellow halo over spots (Valarmathi and Iadhalakshmi, 2018). Thus, the present study aimed to evaluate the Effect of selected botanicals on brown leaf spot of Paddy (*Oryza sativa* L.) caused by *Helminthosporium oryzae* (Breda de Haan). Keeping the above in view the study was undertaken during *Kharif* season 2023, at the Central Research Field, Department of Plant Pathology, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj.

Commented [Ma4]: Also show country name

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was carried out at the Central Research Field, Department of Plant Pathology, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj during *Kharif* season 2023. The study was laid-out with Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications. Three sprays of all treatments were given at an interval of 15 days. Treatments were imposed after appearance of the first disease symptoms. Observations on disease intensity (%), plant height (cm), number of tillers, and panicles length of brown leaf spot of paddy were recorded. Yield (t/ha) and B:C ratio data were obtained after the harvest on physiological maturity. The treatments comprised of application of selected botanicals extract viz., neem, eucalyptus, turmeric, lantana, ginger, @ 10% and Propiconazole 25 EC @ 0.1% (treated check) and control (untreated). The crop was sprayed three times at 60, 75, and 90 DAT of interval. The disease intensity of brown leaf spot was recorded after ten days of spray. Plant disease intensity (PDI) was calculated after each spray by using 0-9 disease rating scale on the basis of percentage area of foliage infected by the pathogen.

Commented [Ma5]: The section of materials and methods doesn't contain the procedure that shows the infestation method of pathogens.

How did you apply pathogens? Through which medium? What was the ratio and concentration of pathogens? What was the time of infesting the pathogens, before or after seed sowing, if after, then at what stage of plant growth?????

Commented [Ma6]: Materials and methods is not well written. It doesn't comprise the procedure of antagonistic fungi application (*Trichoderma harzianum*) as it is written in the title and abstract of the manuscript.

The antagonist preparation and application is missing in whole section of materials and methods.

Commented [Ma7]: The disease causing pathogen used for this studies, have to be molecularly identified for the publication in this well-reputed journal.

Commented [Ma8]: Not clear

2.1 Preparation of Phyto-extracts

Botanicals extracts was prepared from, leaves of neem (*Azadirachta indica*), leaves of eucalyptus (*globulus Labill*), rhizome of turmeric (*Curcuma longa*), leaves of *Lanana camara*, bulb of garlic (*Allium sativum*), washing with running tap water followed by sterile distilled water, air dry at 27°C and ground to obtained extracts of each plant species the extraction was done by means of pestle and mortar. Water extract was obtained by adding one gm of tissue in one ml of water (1:1w/v) and filtered through double layers of muslin cloth. This forms the standard solution (100%). The botanicals extract was sprayed at the rate of 10% prepared from standard solution. All the treatments were given as foliar sprays. botanicals extract was sprayed @ 100 ml/liter of water, propiconazole @ 1 ml/ liter of water.

Commented [Ma9]: Must follow scientific rules of naming

Commented [Ma10]: rules

Commented [Ma11]: Some sentences are very long, contain the combination of several sentences.

These kind of sentences should be splitted and write separately. In this way, it can be easy to understand.

Commented [Ma12]: Tissue??? This term is not correct here. It can be replaced with plant material.

2.2 Recording the Disease Intensity (%)

After transplanting, five plants per treatment per replication were randomly selected. Regularly watched for first appearance of disease. The observation on disease intensity was recorded using

a progressive 0-9 scale, as showed in (Table 1). Numerical rating grade was given on the basis of percentage of area.

Commented [Ma13]: Any references of the scale?

| Grade | Leaf area infected |
|-------|--------------------------------|
| 0 | Absolutely free from infection |
| 1 | <1% area of infection |
| 3 | 4-5% area of infection |
| 5 | 11-15% area of infection |
| 7 | 26-50% area of infection |
| 9 | 76-100% area of infection |

Table 1. Disease rating scale

2.2.1 Per Cent Disease Intensity (PDI)

Per cent disease intensity was recorded at 60, 75 and 90 days after incidence of brown leaf spot of paddy. Percentage of Disease intensity will be calculated in accordance with following formula

Commented [Ma14]: Reference?

$$\text{Disease intensity (\%)} = \frac{\text{Sum of all individual disease rating}}{\text{Total No. of plant assessed} \times \text{Maximum rating}} \times 100$$

2.3 Economics Analysis

Cost of cultivation, gross return, net return and benefit cost ratio was worked out to evaluate the economics of each treatment, based on the existing market prices of input and output.

2.3.1 Cost of cultivation (ha-1)

The cost of cultivation for each treatment was work out separately, taking into consideration all the cultural practices followed and costs of inputs used in the cultivation.

2.3.2 Gross return (ha-1)

The gross return from each treatment was calculated by using the following formula:

Commented [Ma15]: Reference?

$$\text{Gross return (ha-1)} = \text{Yield (q/ha)} \times \text{Price (Rs/q)}$$

2.3.3 Net return (ha-1)

The net profit from each treatment was calculated separately by using the following formula:

Commented [Ma16]: Reference?

$$\text{Net return} = \text{Gross return (ha-1)} - \text{Cost of cultivation (ha-1)}$$

2.3.4 Benefit cost ratio

The benefit cost ratio was calculated by using the following formula:

Commented [Ma17]: Reference?

$$\text{Benefit Cost ratio} = \text{Net return (ha-1)} / \text{Total cost of cultivation (ha-1)}$$

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Disease Intensity (%) of brown Leaf Spot on Paddy

The minimum disease intensity of brown spot of paddy was recorded in T₅ -*Trichoderma harzianum* @ 0.1% (Seedling dip) + garlic bulb extract @ 10% (foliar spray) (33.06), followed by T₁ – *Trichoderma harzianum* @ 0.1% (Seedling dip) + neem leaf extract @ 10% (foliar spray) (37.81), T₂- *Trichoderma harzianum* @ 0.1% (Seedling dip) + eucalyptus leaf extract @ 10% (foliar spray) (40.08), T₃- *Trichoderma harzianum* @ 0.1% (Seedling dip) + turmeric rhizome extract @ 10% (foliar spray) (41.15), T₄- *Trichoderma harzianum* @ 0.1% (Seedling dip) + *Lantana camara* leaf extract @ 10% (foliar spray) (42.86), as compared to T₆ – propiconazole @ 0.1% (foliar spray) (27.32), and T₀-Control (untreated check) (45.20).

Comparing the treatments with CD value 074, all the treatments were found to be significant over untreated check (T₀) and among themselves.

Commented [Ma18]: Graph should be made properly, here an extra place (Treatments) have also been included. Moreover, be careful in writing the scientific name in graph either, they should be according to the rules.

Commented [Ma19]: Follow rules




Fig. 1. Effect of treatments on disease intensity (%) of Brown leaf spot, Plant height (cm), Number of tillers, Panicle length and Yield (t/ha) on Paddy.

| S.No | Treatments | Disease intensity | Plant height | Number of tillers | Panicle length | Yield (t/ha) | Benefit Cost ratio |
|------|--|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| T0 | Control (Untreated check) | 45.20 ^a | 97.08 ^e | 21.03 ^b | 14.93 ^e | 2.23 ^f | 1:1.27 |
| T1 | <i>Trichoderma harzianum</i> @0.1% (S.D.) + neem leaf extract @10% (F.S) | 37.81 ^e | 101.45 ^c | 26.37 ^c | 19.63 ^c | 4.91 ^c | 1:2.67 |
| T2 | <i>Trichoderma harzianum</i> @0.1% (SD) + eucalyptus leaf extract@ 10% (FS) | 40.08 ^d | 100.82 ^c | 25.22 ^d | 18.58 ^d | 4.84 ^c | 1:2.51 |
| T3 | <i>Trichoderma harzianum</i> @0.1% (SD) + turmeric rhizome extract@ 10% (FS) | 41.15 ^c | 99.95 ^d | 24.15 ^e | 18.23 ^d | 3.35 ^d | 1:1.75 |

| | | | | | | | |
|-------------|--|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------|
| T4 | <i>Trichoderma harzianum</i> @0.1% (SD) + Lantana camara leaf extract @ 10% (FS) | 42.86 ^b | 99.23 ^d | 23.16 ^f | 17.89 ^d | 3.31 ^e | 1:1.72 |
| T5 | <i>Trichoderma harzianum</i> @0.1% (SD) + garlic bulb extract @ 10% (FS) | 33.06 ^f | 102.34 ^b | 27.00 ^b | 20.91 ^b | 5.13 ^b | 1:2.60 |
| T6 | Propiconazole 25 EC @0.1% (FS) | 27.32 ^g | 103.81 ^a | 29.03 ^a | 22.06 ^a | 5.53 ^a | 1:3.06 |
| C. D. @ 5 % | | 0.74 | 0.78 | 0.75 | 0.91 | 0.08 | |

Table 2. Effect of treatments on disease intensity (%) of brown leaf spot, Plant height (cm), Number of tillers, panicle length (cm), yield(t/ha), and Benefit Cost ratio of Paddy.

Commented [Ma20]: Table title is always written on the upper side of the table. However, written-title of the table is not proper.

3.2 Plant height of paddy(cm)

The maximum plant height of paddy was recorded in T₅ -*Trichoderma harzianum* @ 0.1% (Seedling dip)+ garlic bulb extract @ 10% (foliar spray) (102.34), followed by T₁ – *Trichoderma harzianum* @ 0.1% (Seedling dip) + neem leaf extract @ 10% (foliar spray) (101.45), T₂- *Trichoderma harzianum* @ 0.1% (Seedling dip)+ eucalyptus leaf extract @ 10% (foliar spray) (100.82), T₃- *Trichoderma harzianum* @ 0.1% (Seedling dip) + turmeric rhizome extract @ 10% (foliar spray) (99.95), T₄- *Trichoderma harzianum* @ 0.1% (Seedling dip)+ Lantana camara leaf extract @ 10% (foliar spray) (99.23), as compared to T₆ – propiconazole @ 0.1% (Foliar spray) (103.81), and T₀-Control (untreated check) (97.08).

Comparing the treatments with CD value 0.78, all the treatments were found to be significant over untreated check (T₀) and among themselves, except for treatments (T₃ and T₄) were found to be non – significant to each other.

3.3 Number of tillers on paddy

The maximum number of tiller of paddy was recorded in T₅ -*Trichoderma harzianum* @ 0.1% (Seedling dip) + garlic bulb extract @ 10% (foliar spray) (27.00), followed by T₁ – *Trichoderma harzianum* @ 0.1% (Seedling dip) + neem leaf extract @ 10% (foliar spray) (26.37), T₂- *Trichoderma harzianum* @ 0.1% (Seedling dip) + eucalyptus leaf extract @ 10% (foliar spray) (25.22), T₃- *Trichoderma harzianum* @ 0.1% (Seedling dip) + turmeric rhizome extract @ 10% (foliar spray) (24.15), T₄- *Trichoderma harzianum* @ 0.1% (Seedling dip) + Lantana camara leaf

extract @ 10% (foliar spray) (23.16), as compared to T₆ – propiconazole @ 0.1% (Foliar spray) (29.03), and T₀-Control (untreated check) (21.03).

Comparing the treatments with CD value 0.75, all the treatment were found to be significant over untreated check (T₀) and among themselves, except for treatments (T₅ and T₁) were found to be non – significant to each other.

3.4 Panicle length(cm) on paddy

The maximum panicle of paddy was recorded in T₅ -*Trichoderma harzianum* @ 0.1% (Seedling dip) + garlic bulb extract @ 10% (foliar spray) (20.91), followed by T₁ – *Trichoderma harzianum* @ 0.1% (Seedling dip) + neem leaf extract @ 10% (foliar spray) (19.63), T₂- *Trichoderma harzianum* @ 0.1% (Seedling dip) + eucalyptus leaf extract @ 10% (foliar spray) (18.58), T₃-*Trichoderma harzianum* @ 0.1% (Seedling dip) + turmeric rhizome extract @ 10% (foliar spray) (18.23), T₄- *Trichoderma harzianum* @ 0.1% (Seedling dip) + Lantana camara leaf extract @ 10% (foliar spray) (17.89), as compared to T₆ – propiconazole @ 0.1% (Foliar spray) (22.06), and T₀-Control (untreated check) (14.93).

Comparing the treatments with CD value 0.91, all the treatments were found to be significant over untreated check (T₀) and among themselves, except for treatments (T₂, T₃ and T₄) were found to be non – significant to each other.

3.5 Yield (t/hac)

The maximum yield of paddy was recorded in T₅ -*Trichoderma harzianum* @ 0.1% (Seedling dip) + garlic bulb extract @ 10% (foliar spray) (5.13 t/ha), followed by T₁ – *Trichoderma harzianum* @ 0.1% (Seedling dip) + neem leaf extract @ 10% (foliar spray) (4.91 t/ha), T₂- *Trichoderma harzianum* @ 0.1% (Seedling dip) + eucalyptus leaf extract @ 10% (foliar spray) (4.84 t/ha), T₃-*Trichoderma harzianum* @ 0.1% (Seedling dip) + turmeric rhizome extract @ 10% (foliar spray) (3.35 t/ha) , T₄- *Trichoderma harzianum* @ 0.1% (Seedling dip)+ Lantana camara leaf extract @ 10% (foliar spray) (3.31 t/ha), as compared to T₆ – propiconazole @ 0.1% (Foliar spray) (5.33 t/ha), and T₀-Control (untreated check) (2.23 t/ha).

Comparing the treatments with CD value 0.08, all the treatments were found to be significant over untreated check (T₀) and among themselves, except for treatments (T₁ and T₂) were found to be non – significant to each other.

3.6 Cost benefit ratio on paddy

The maximum cost benefit ratio 1:2.67 was recorded in T₁ – *Trichoderma harzianum* @ 0.1% (Seedling dip) + neem leaf extract @ 10% (foliar spray), followed by T₅ - *Trichoderma harzianum* @ 0.1% (Seedling dip)+ garlic bulb extract @ 10% (foliar spray) (1:2:60), T₂- *Trichoderma harzianum* @ 0.1% (Seedling dip) + eucalyptus leaf extract @ 10% (foliar spray) (1:2:51), T₃- *Trichoderma harzianum* @ 0.1% (Seedling dip) + turmeric rhizome extract @ 10% (foliar spray) (1:1:75), T₄- *Trichoderma harzianum* @ 0.1% (Seedling dip)+ lantana camera leaf extract @ 10% (foliar spray) (1:1:72), as compared to T₆ – propiconazole @ 0.1% (Foliar spray) (1:3:06), and T₀ -Control (untreated check) (1:1:27).

Discussion

The probable reasons for this finding may be that *Trichoderma harzianum* was used as a seedling treatment. It is a broad-spectrum antimicrobial agent with antifungal properties that may have inhibited the growth of fungi. This biocontrol agent may have worked by competing with pathogens for a resource producing enzymes that may have degrade fungal cell walls, and enhanced the plant's own defense mechanisms. Whereas, garlic which was applied as a foliar spray, contains allicin, garlicin, and allylsulfides. Allicin is a major component that may have undergone metabolic transformation to produce diallyl sulfide (DAS) and diallyl disulfide (DADS). These allicin-derived organosulfur compounds interfered with fungal pathogens by inhibiting spore germination and decreasing fungal growth (*Helminthosporium oryzae*). The combined use of *Trichoderma harzianum* and garlic treatment resulted in a comprehensive approach in managing plant disease. This synergy may have minimized plant disease intensity and promotes better plant health, leading to improved crop yields and resilience. The use of biological and natural treatment like these offers a sustainable alternative to chemical pesticides, benefiting both the environment and agricultural productivity. Similar findings have been reported by Block, 1985; Naganawa *et al.*, 1996; Rivlin *et al.*, 2006; Binoj and Gopalakrishan, 2012;

4. Conclusion

Helminthosporium oryzae (Breda de Haan) was found associated with brown leaf spot disease of rice (*Oryza sativa*. L). The disease intensity (%), Plant height(cm), Number of tillers, Panicle length (cm) and Yield (t/hac), overall results revealed that *Trichoderma harzianum* @0.1% (SD) + garlic bulb extract @10 % as foliar spray was significantly effective against *H. oryzae* (Breda de Haan). The maximum C:B ratio (1:2.67) was found in T₁ – *T. harzianum* @ 0.1% + neem leaf extract @ 10% (FS) and showing the highest benefits compared to treated and untreated control. It is worth mentioning that the conclusion drawn from this study were based on observations carried out

Commented [Ma21]:

Discussion section is very poorly written. Very short, lack of references, lack of logic, based on assumptions, non-rational etc.

Re-write the discussion

Commented [Ma22]: Study should not be based on assumptions, there must be a proof.

Commented [Ma23]: Unclear sentence

Commented [Ma24]: There is no any reference or proof of having phytochemicals in the materials, must write in the discussion.

Commented [Ma25]: What did you conclude from this studies???

under field conditions at Central Research field Department of Plant pathology, SHUATS, prayagraj, during *kharif* season 2023. Within the agro climatic conditions of Prayagraj U.P india Therefore, to substantiate the present result more trials are required for further recommendation.

References

- Ahmad, N., Sinha, D. K and Singh, K. M. (2017).** Estimating production efficiency in rice cultivation of bihar: An economic approach. *Economic Affairs*, **62**(3): 353-360.
- Aryal, J. P., Sapkota, T. B., Stirling, C. M., Jat, M. L., H. S., Rai, M. and Sutaliya, J. M. (2016).** Conservation agriculture-based wheat production better copes with extreme climate events than conventional tillage-based systems: a case of untimely excess rainfall in Haryana, India. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, **23**(3): 325-335
- Binoj, M. and P. Gopalakrishnan. 2012.** Biopesticides in Environment and Food Security: Issues and Strategies - Opende Koul, G.S. Dhaliwal, S. Khokhar, Ram Singh - Google Libros. *BIODIVERSITY CONSERVATION* (p. 454).
- Block, E. 1985.** The chemistry of garlic and onions. In *Scientific American* (Vol. 252, Issue 3). *Scientific American* 251.
- GOI, 2023.** Directorate of economics and Statistics, department of agriculture, co-operation and farmers welfare, ministry of agricultural and farmers welfare, government of India. Retrieved from the website (<https://eands.dacnet.nic.in/>) on 15.06.2023.
- Kumar, A., Singh, R. K. P., Singh, K.M. and Mishra, J. S. (2018).** Economics of paddy (*Oryza sativa*.L) production: A comparative study of bihar and punjab. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Science*, **88**(2): 314-319.
- Mew, T. W. and P. Gonzales. (2002).** A handbook of rice seed borne fungi. *Science Puplichers, Inc*, **1**(3)-84.
- Naganawa, R., N. Iwata, K. Ishikawa, H. Fukuda, T. Fujino and A. Suzuki. 1996.** Inhibition of microbial growth by ajoene, a sulfur-containing compound derived from garlic. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **62**(11):4238–4242,

Commented [Ma26]: References in the reference section are not according to the journal rules and are not fixed.

National Geographic Society. 2023.Food Staple retrieved from website ([https:// education.nationalgeographic.org/resource/food-staple/](https://education.nationalgeographic.org/resource/food-staple/)) on 24.8.2023.

National Geographic Society. 2023.Food Staple retrieved from website ([https:// education.nationalgeographic.org/resource/food-staple/](https://education.nationalgeographic.org/resource/food-staple/)) on 24.8.2023.

Padmanabhan, S. Y. (1973). The great bengal famine. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*,1(8): 11-24.

Pathak, H., Tripathi, R., Jambhulkar, N. N., Bisen, J. P and Panda, B. B. 2020. Eco-regional rice farming for enhancing yield, profitability and sustainability. NRRI Research Bulletin No. 22, ICAR-National Rice Research Institute, Cuttack 753006, Odisha, India. pp. 28-29.

Reddy TY, Reddi GHS. Principles of agronomy. 2nd Ed. Kalyani Publishers: Ludhiana; 1995.

Reddy, C. S., Laha, G. S., Prasad, M. S., Krishnaveni, D., Castilla, N. P., Nelson, A. (2010). Characterizing multiple linkages between individual diseases, crop health syndromes, germplasm deployment and rice production situations in india. *Field Crops Research*, 120: 241-253.

Rivlin, R. S., M. Budoff and H. Amagase. 2006. Significance of Garlic and Its Constituents in Cancer and Cardiovascular Disease. *The Journal of Nutrition*, 136(3): v–v. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/136.3.v>

Savary, S., Willocquet, L., Elazegui, F. A., Castilla, N. P. and Teng, P. S. (2000). Rice pest constraints in tropical asia: quantification of yield losses due to rice pests in a range of production situations. *Plant Diseases*, 84: 357-369.

Shekhawat PS, Prasada RL. Antifungal activities of some plant extracts. inhibition of spore germination. *Indian Phytopathology*. 1971;24: 800-802.

Shivappa, R., Navadagi, D. B., Baite, M. S., Yadav, M. K., Rathinam, P. S., Umopathy, K. and Rath, P. C. (2021). Emerging minor diseases of rice in India: losses and management strategies. *Integrative Advances in Rice Research*, 1(6): 1-9.

Singh, K. M., Ahmad, N., Pandey, V. V., Kumari, T and Singh, R. M.2021.Growth performance and profitability of rice production in india: *An Assertive Analysis Economic Affairs*, 66(3): 481–486

Valarmathi and Ladhakshmi (2018). Improvement of soil fertility for reducing brown spot incidence in paddy fields of eastern Taiwan. *Journal of Agriculture Research China*, 29:35-36.