

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRCOS_121814</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Enhanced Text Recognition in Images Using Tesseract OCR within the Laravel Framework</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>		
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>		
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>		
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>		
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>		
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>		

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>Enhanced Text Recognition in Images Using Tesseract OCR within the Laravel Framework</p> <p>The paper has provided some details and aspects that could be useful for some researchers in the field. However, the reviewer has some concerns, which require the manuscript to go through a deep and major revision before publication:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. In the paper, some parts contain typo errors (punctuation). Hence revision is required. Check page number 4. Example: However, challenges remain.</li><li>2. Some sentences and details need to be connected professionally. Example: Here are some key takeaways and areas for improvement to consider as you move forward with your project.</li><li>3. The survey quality would be even better with more statistical analysis so that the flow can be understood from the reader's point of view.</li><li>4. The author mentioned on Page 4 "Explore successful image preprocessing techniques." Explain clearly how the author has incorporated in the work.</li><li>5. The motivation of this work should be further elaborated. Avoid using "we" on Page 4. Elaborate clearly about work done.</li><li>6. Figures 1, 2, and 3 are not mentioned.</li><li>7. "Enhanced Tesseract OCR within the Laravel Framework" should be presented with a suitable block diagram/proposed framework.</li><li>8. Please evaluate the proposed method on time complexity.</li><li>9. The author introduced a lot of basic knowledge of the "application of text recognition in images" on page 7 and about Tesseract OCR on page 6. These parts are not necessary.</li><li>10. References are excellent.</li><li>11. Some recent papers related to LSTM need to be cited.</li></ol>	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Chaitra Yuvaraj</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Jain University, India</b>