

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_123066
Title of the Manuscript:	STUDY ON THE EFFECT OF FOLIAR FERTILIZERS ON THE GROWTH AND YIELD OF HEAT-TOLERANT CUCUMBER VARIETIES
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The rationale of the study is interesting and will be helpful for developing management strategies for improving the yield of cucumber in heat stress environment. However, the study is more likely of product testing and it doesn't provide any information regarding the main ingredients of the foliar spray, which is playing main role in enhancing the growth, yield and disease incidence in cucumber. Likewise, the result and discussion part lacks of discussion part.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes. However, the details of the best recommendation are not described properly. The findings of the effect of foliar fertilizer on disease and pest incidence can also be added in the abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes. However, the tables provided in the manuscript are mostly without statistical analysis.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Abstract, introduction are concise and to the point. In treatment details, the composition or main ingredient of the different foliar fertilizer should be highlighted. The result and discussion part only listed the findings and not discussion has been provided for those findings. Moreover, most of the data provided are without statistical analysis.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No, discussion of each table provided should be included with appropriate references.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Writing skill is good, however the manuscript needs lots of improvement with the provided suggestions.	
Optional/General comments		
	The paper can be accepted after major revision and inclusion of the suggestions given by the reviewers.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Loitongbam Joymati Chanu
Department, University & Country	Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, India