

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_122229
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects Of Different Copper Concentration On Growth And Nodulation Of Green Gram (Vigna radiata L.)
Type of the Article	Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is important to the scientific community because it addresses a critical gap in current knowledge and offers new perspectives that can inspire further research. The innovative approach and comprehensive analysis presented in the study enhance our understanding of the subject matter, making it a valuable resource for researchers and practitioners alike. I particularly appreciate the manuscript's clear presentation and rigorous methodology, which contribute to its credibility and impact. However, I feel that some sections could benefit from more in-depth exploration to fully realize the potential implications of the findings.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes the title of article is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is well written and is comprehensive too. Covering all the sections of the article.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure of the manuscript is well designed all the subsections are appropriate and is as per the requirement of the article.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound because it employs a well-designed experimental approach to study the effects of varying copper concentrations on the growth and nodulation of green gram (Vigna radiata L.). The research methodology is carefully structured, with appropriate controls and replicates, ensuring that the results are reliable and reproducible. The data analysis is thorough, and the statistical methods used are appropriate for evaluating the impact of copper on plant growth and nodulation. Additionally, the discussion effectively integrates the findings with existing literature, providing a comprehensive understanding of the topic.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Reference cited in the article are sufficient	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Correct and scientifically ok.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bineeta Singh
Department, University & Country	AKS University, India