

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_121916
Title of the Manuscript:	Optimization Of The Leaching Of Zinc, Iron And Manganese Froms Elected Solid Wastes In Zaria, Kaduna State Using Response Surface Methodology
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The conducted research is good and can be useful for many experts and decision makers. But there are many flaws in methodology and writing that must be fixed.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is not appropriate. It should be shorter and be asked in the form of a question sentence. Tagged burnt tire (BT) and contraband drug ash (AS) should also be mentioned.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is written very long and boring and needs to be rewritten again be made In the abstract, the purpose of the research should be clearly stated and the results should be expressed in the form of sentences that can be used by other researchers.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Some of them should be removed.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paragraphing of the article is poor and needs to be rearranged. We should use a new paragraph when a topic is over and we want to raise a separate topic. The literature review in the article is weak. There is no need to describe the scientific methods and the reader can refer to the articles for further study. The form of writing the introduction is also not correct. In the article, you should talk briefly, usefully and effectively about the research implementation process, the background and shortcomings of the research. The introduction section and other sections need to be rewritten. The styling of the article is not appropriate. You cannot style the introduction. Materials and methods must be style 2. There is no need to write a title for each paragraph in the Materials and Methods section. You can provide the necessary explanations below with just one title. Write the geographic location of sampling. Use the common names nitric acid and sulfuric acid in the text, not their oxide forms. Correct the order of the paragraphs in the Materials and Methods section. For example, paragraph 3.2.3 should come before paragraph 3.2.2.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	The references of the article are appropriate, but newer references should be used to present the results and compare the results.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Check the grammar and writing errors of this manuscript with available software or get help from a native.	
Optional/General comments	The titles of the tables should be written at the top and not at the bottom. Design tables according to Elsevier patterns. The top and bottom lines should be thick. Is it possible that there is no lead in the burnt tire??? What does it mean from the figure above???. You must write the figure number. After printing, the place of the figures in the article will be changed. Figure 1 can be drawn as a circular curve so that the differences are clear. What is the need to mention the raw data of the experiment?? It is not necessary to show the obtained concentrations both in the figure and in the table, and the concentration and sd in the table are sufficient. In the text, figures and tables, the index of the elements should be written in lowercase letters. In the text, HCL is correct, not HCl. ,	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ahmad Akhavan
Department, University & Country	Iranian Research Organization for Science and Technology, Iran