

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_122637
Title of the Manuscript:	Use of Therapy Diary as a Method for Monitoring and Improving Adherence to Metformin Therapy
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The diary can provide valuable insights into the patient condition. healthcare providers can identify patterns or trends in their blood glucose levels and medication adherence, which can help in making more informed treatment decisions.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The sample is not recognized in the title, I suggest to add "in diabetic patients" at the end of the title.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The aim of the study needs more clarification. The author mention in the abstract "blood sugar" and it is not a scientific term to be used in an article, we usually use "blood glucose level", kindly change it. The conclusion needs some modification in writing style.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, all aspects are present, but I prefer to write the results and the discussion in separate subtitles.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The subsection of material and methods needs to be more organized. The subsection of discussion is not written in an appropriate way; it needs to be rewritten highlighting the results of other similar studies. The number of ethical committee approval should be added.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are very limited and not sufficient at all (only 6 references). It is preferable to use more recent references.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Need modification in writing style.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gehan A. Abdelsamea
Department, University & Country	Cairo University, Egypt