

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJFRN_122546</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Proximate Assessment of Shrimp (<i>Macrobrachium felicinum</i>) sourced from crude oil polluted sites in Rivers State, Nigeria.</b>
Type of the Article	

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	1. Importance Of the Manuscript: Science for the service of Man Good research design and execution Adequate review of the literature. References to WHO, US-EPA and DPR Guidelines.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	2. The title is okay.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	3. The results of the study are relevant to the work of NAFDAC- National Foods and Drugs Administration Commission of Nigeria.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	4. The subsections are relevant and well presented to guide the readers.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	5. Experimental Research Design with a Control( the Oron in Akwa Ibom sampling site). TPA and PAH data were collected with State of the Art technologies. Authors were focused on data science. Ethical issues were strictly avoided.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	<b>6. The references are current and relevant, adequately presented to guide readers.</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	7. The language is scholarly, and sufficiently poignant for scholarly interlocution/ Communications.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	8. I strongly support selecting the article for publication.	

#### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in detail)  The Authors are silent about the ethical issues surrounding the research perhaps because Ogoniland is the home of the late Environmental Activist Ken Sarowiwa.	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>David Afolayan Alao</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Nigeria</b>