

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_123077
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effect of Sports on Life Skills: An Experimental Study on Parents Who Enable Their Children to Participate in Sports Activities.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This research is certainly valuable for the scientific community as there has been little research done on this domain, and it will push for a greater impetus on the Sports participation of parents to ensure the survivability of the upcoming generations in the long run. It certainly makes a potentially good area to focus our researches on.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<p>Not suitable to the kind of work done inside. A more suitable title would be-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Parental influence on Children's Life skills development through sports: A regional study 2. Impact of parental sports involvement on children's Life Skills : A study in region 3. Parental sports background and its influence on children's life-skills development in sports and life. 	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Pls ensure Consistency in Terminology: Title says—an Experimental study- and Methodology says—an Examination was made..... 2. Pls have more Methodology Details added: The Objectives, Hypotheses and Variables need be essentially mentioned. The methodology section mentions the use of independent groups t-test, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), and Post Hoc tests. It would be helpful to specify which variables these tests were applied to for better clarity. There is no mention of 3. No way the results in the abstract lead to the conclusions given in it. The author ought to connect the results with the objectives of research. 4. Proper punctuation and grammar throughout the abstract be ensured. For example, "Anova" should be "ANOVA," 5. The phrase "sports background and participation in sports activities" is somewhat redundant. It could be streamlined for clarity. 	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<p>It should ensure that each section in the full manuscript is detailed and follows a logical flow. Here are a few additional tips:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Introduction: Provide background information, the significance of the study, and the research questions or hypotheses. • Literature Review: Summarize relevant previous research and how your study contributes to the field. • Methods: Describe the Research type, Research Method, sample, participants, instruments, procedures, and data analysis in detail. • Results: Present the findings with appropriate tables and figures. • Discussion: Interpret the results, discuss implications, limitations, and suggest future research directions. 	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>This research need explain why it was called an experimental study. It need explain why it chose a sample of One type only and why choose a t-test.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Which two groups of populations are being compared here that a two-sample t test (independent t-test) has been performed? Also, the Title says--- An experimental Study..... Which experiment has been performed here? And if the groups are coming from a single population (measuring before and after an experimental treatment) a paired t-test ought to have been performed. There is no proper mention of groups or population. • LSD is to be applied only if the Null hypothesis has been rejected, (that is if one gets a significant result from ANOVA), but no hypotheses have been framed here. There is no mention of Variables either in the study. That need be mentioned. 	

Review Form 3

Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Sufficient.	
<u>Minor REVISION</u> comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	That need be refined. MS Word Editor gives it a 78 % score. It has identified 50 spelling errors, 30 grammar inaccuracies, 4 areas of imprecision.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This research though has a novelty element but if essential detailing is done, missing data is provided, proper logic is given and language is refined, then it may be considered for inclusion.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Paralikar Urmila Atul
Department, University & Country	Government College of Education, India