

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Archives of Current Research International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ACRI_123237</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>CHALLENGES FACED BY FARMERS IN INTEGRATED FARMING SYSTEMS: PERSONAL AND SOCIAL CONSTRAINTS</b>
Type of the Article	

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The topic of the study is much important in the field of agriculture. This can be helpful in the current scenario of Food security all over the world. However, in my opinion, at this level this article is not suitable for publication in the journal with its current form.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title of the article is Ok.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract of this article of the current study is quite ok.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	The introduction section needs rigorous improvement in its current form. Research did not identify the current situation of food security of the country, nor he explain the situation of the province Punjab of India. Further, the researcher never explains the need or justification of the study and objective? Methodology section need some more clarification about the validity and reliability of the research instrument. Further, researcher need to explain how he calculated weighted mean scores?	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	I already mentioned that this manuscript is little bit weak in its current situation. However, it can be improved with little efforts of the researcher in introduction section and methodology section.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Yes, I suggest more authentic references can be included specially in introduction section.	
Minor REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes, language of the manuscript is correct.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	According to my opinion, in its current form the manuscript is not suitable for the publication. However, after improvement in the manuscript, it can be published.	

### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Badar Naseem Siddiqui</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>PMAS-Arid Agriculture University, Pakistan</b>