

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Archives of Current Research International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ACRI_122841</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Evaluation of Sugar Factory Privatization Practices in Turkey: The Case of Kayseri Sugar Factory-Turhal</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research</b>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	I find this manuscript compelling because it addresses a significant issue in agricultural economics, particularly in the context of developing countries where privatization can have profound effects on rural livelihoods. The research's focus on both the positive and negative aspects of privatization provides a balanced perspective, making it a valuable contribution to the field.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title effectively conveys both the geographical context (Turkey) and the specific case study (Kayseri Sugar Factory-Turhal), making it clear to readers what the manuscript will cover.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	yes	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Yes These insights underline the mixed feelings among farmers regarding the privatization of sugar factories, showing both potential benefits and risks to the sustainability of sugar beet farming in the region	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript appears to be scientifically robust and technically sound, as it employs a well-structured approach to analyze the impact of sugar factory privatization on sugar beet producers in Turkey. The use of primary data collected from a significant sample of producers, combined with statistical analysis, adds to the credibility and accuracy of the findings. The research methodology is clearly defined, and the study provides a balanced discussion of both the positive and negative consequences of privatization. Additionally, the manuscript thoroughly addresses the economic and social dimensions of privatization, making its conclusions well-founded and relevant to the broader field of agricultural economics.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	No Few latest references can be included	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	NA	

#### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 3](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Pawan Nandkishor Karwa</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Dr. D.Y Patil Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research, India</b>