

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_122841
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Sugar Factory Privatization Practices in Turkey: The Case of Kayseri Sugar Factory-Turhal
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article is very much important for the scientific community as well as for the industry perspective. Efficiently summarize all those things required for the study. This article nicely evaluate the privatization of sugar industry in Turkey.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Definitely suitable title for the article.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Very much comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Structure of the manuscript is appropriate. As per my views, some part of the conclusion may be excluded and the same should be included in discussion part.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article is scientifically correct and design in proper manner. The data presented here and analysis done for this article were perfect.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are OK.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English quality of the article is upto the mark.	
Optional/General comments	Some part of the conclusion may be excluded and the same should be included in discussion part.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Goutam Mahata
Department, University & Country	India