

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Archives of Current Research International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ACRI_122841</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Evaluation of Sugar Factory Privatization Practices in Turkey: The Case of Kayseri Sugar Factory-Turhal</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript provides an insightful analysis of the privatization process of sugar factories in Turkey, with a specific focus on the perspective of sugar beet producers. The study is significant as it adds to the body of literature on the effects of privatization in the agricultural sector, particularly in developing economies. The findings are valuable for policymakers, economists, and agricultural stakeholders, as they highlight the challenges faced by small-scale farmers and the broader implications of privatization on rural economies.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Yes	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	Yes, but it lacks a clear description of the research methodology. I suggest including a brief mention of the sample size and the specific data collection methods used.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Yes	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound, as it is grounded in empirical research with a well-defined methodology. The use of statistical analysis to evaluate the impact of privatization on sugar beet cultivation and farmers' satisfaction adds rigor to the study. The manuscript effectively synthesizes data to support its conclusions, making it a valuable contribution to the field	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The references cited are relevant, but additional references would be an asset.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	NA	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Federico Fusco</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Alfaisal University, Saudi Arabia</b>