

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_123177
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF THE CHALLENGES TOWARDS COVID-19 TESTING AMONG FINAL YEAR MEDICAL STUDENTS IN ENUGU STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The topic is relevant as it provides perspective regarding availability of facilities for testing in developing countries in case of epidemics and pandemics which have become real threat in today's world.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, can add knowledge about Covid 19 in title. Assessment of knowledge and challenges to Covid 19 among final year medical students in Enugu state, Nigeria or Knowledge and challenges for Covid 19 testing among final year medical students in Enugu state, Nigeria	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	There is a need to improve abstract as it is not very clearly written. Background does not justify topic. Conclusion and recommendation are a general statement; it should be based on the results of the study. Medical students are a specific population with special characteristics; hence results cannot be generalised.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	It is appropriate. However, Methods section needs to be expanded, authors have not mentioned clearly how many participants were approached and what was the response rate. Whether questionnaire was validated before used. Results are not written adequately, findings related to main objectives are not written under results heading. The graphs are not made correctly.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Although , it is a relevant topic , methodology is acceptable but analysis and graphical representation needs to be revised. Percentages should be clearly depicted in bar and pie diagrams. Chi square test is used for testing associations not correlation. Knowledge about a topic cannot be expressed as belief as authors have used these terms interchangeably. In conclusion section authors have used the term satisfactory knowledge however they have not mentioned what is satisfactory knowledge in methods section.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Although references are sufficient and recent but are not properly cited. Needs to be rewritten uniformly as per guideline.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language needs to be improved.	
Optional/General comments	Major revision is required otherwise study topic is very relevant.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Geeta Yadav
Department, University & Country	Vardhman Mahavir Medical College & Safdarjung Hospital, India