

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_123200
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of integrated weed management practices on weed indices in rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i>) under SRI
Type of the Article	Field Research

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This research is very important to determine the effectiveness of weed control in order to increase agricultural productivity in rice cultivation using the SRI method. Such research is good to do because it can increase agricultural productivity and sustainability and this can be a priority in a global perspective because of population growth and technological advances that continue to put pressure on the environment. It is fitting that forward-looking/environmentally friendly research is favored by many people.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is already good. But there the word 'weed' repeated twice. It's better if there are no two words repeated. The article "the" is also repeated twice.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - There is no research purpose in your abstract. It would be better if the treatment was made complete so that people can differentiate the best in the abstract. T3 code is removed from the abstract, just mention the name of treatment directly (only in the abstract). - Keywords must be written alphabetically. 	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	It is good and orderly appropriate. In the introduction, SRI is made complete with what it stands for and then SRI is sufficient. At the end of the introduction paragraph, a closing sentence needs to be made to strengthen the research objectives.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This paper has scientific experience and history proves that weeds have a negative effect on the production of staple crops, so weeds need to be controlled. There are many ways to effectively control weeds, but experience has shown that effective is not necessarily better for staple crops, health, and even environmental damage will be more dangerous for the sustainability of life. So it is very reasonable to research and scientifically, until finding the best way, healthy and also good impact on the environment.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references is sufficient and various. And also all the years are recent.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Generally, all the information in the paper is easily understand and to follow. There are some grammar errors that it is better to do some proof reading.	
Optional/General comments	<p>In conducting research, if the background is clear, the assumptions or hypotheses and objectives exist, then with the right methodology a scientific conclusion can be obtained. This paper includes a research that is awaited by other scientific institutions and farmers who use it directly. For that, this scientific work is very well appreciated.</p> <p>Having an environmentally friendly outlook and behavior on a daily basis is a very important ethic and has a global impact, including on humanity. This research is certainly included in research that pays attention to environmental ethics because it has tried to find a way to control weeds without damaging the environment.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gina Erida
Department, University & Country	Syiah Kuala University, Indonesia