

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_123063
Title of the Manuscript:	Enhancing Agricultural Commodity Price Forecasting Using Generative Models: A Deep Learning Approach
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :		

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The topic of the research is contemporary and worth to be researched with positive economic and financial implications</p> <p>The text is simple, easy to follow, and streamlined.</p> <p>The trail of thoughts is streamlined</p> <p>It needs minor proofreading and editing [See attached Reviewed, marked-up, and commented Manuscript]</p> <p>The abstract is adequate. It includes the different necessary parts to make it representative of the paper's content.</p> <p>Keywords are adequate</p> <p>The introduction is adequate, streamlined, and clear. Also, Mentions the purpose of this work. And presents how this paper is divided!</p> <p>The methodology is adequate and clear.</p> <p>The results are clear and data Tables reported. Sufficient for the discussion</p> <p>The discussion is somehow adequate. Some citations are not reported in the reference list [Must be added]. However, needs some proofreading and editing [see all suggested marked changes] You need to use several validation works (more references)...</p> <p>The conclusion adequate</p> <p>It is necessary to elaborate on the Limitations of the study and add future research</p> <p>Make sure to review carefully the write-up of your references for consistency, and completeness and to match the journal's requirements.</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i></p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Hussin Jose Hejase</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Al Maaref University, Lebanon</p>