

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_122478
Title of the Manuscript:	Optimizing Resource Utilization for Paddy cultivation in Eastern Uttar Pradesh: A Study on Efficiency and Sustainability
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Optimizing the use of resources for rice cultivation in the context of securing food resources for human populations is important for the scientific community and such a study is welcome. Such a study is also welcome from the perspective of climate change in recent years. What I don't like is the way the authors quote in this article.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the article is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article well done, and comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, the structure and subsection of the manuscript are appropriate for a research article.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, I think the manuscript is scientifically correct, in the interpretation of data and results.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references sufficient (11) and relatively recently (10 of them is after 2000), I think it is good for an Original Research Article, but the problem is 6 of them are not cited in the text of article. I will present this issue further: some references are present only in the text, for example: Pingali, (2012); FAO, (2017, 2018); Dobermann and Fairhurst, (2000); Gathala et al., (2011); and Bruinsma, J. (2017); Dubé et al.(2012); Fairhurst, et al. (2007); Singh et al. (2022); Singh, J. P. (1975) and Tilman et al (2011) appears only at references, not appear in the text article.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes. The language quality are suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments	In addition to those mentioned I have made some corrections in the full article with the red colour.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mihai- Iacob Bețea
Department, University & Country	University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine, Romania