

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_122346
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of nutritional and environmental conditions on growth of Colletotrichum gloeosporioides causing anthracnose of anthurium
Type of the Article	Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Overall I believe the authors have brought to light an interesting part of the biology of <i>C gloeosporioides</i> that would not objectively have been studied elsewhere. The study addresses a knowledge gap that exists (there is no literature on this specific topic from the specific region) and I would assume this crop would be of importance to at least the community in which the study was conducted. I would, however, like the authors to review their references and attempt to use more recent ones as I believe this paper may have been written a while ago and forgotten about before submission. I would also recommend further addition of references where claims are made about the importance or impact of the crop or the pathogen and perhaps references detailing the use of methods applied in the study. This would be my only major points of concern when recommending a major revision. The study leaves a lot of scope for further research and I hope the authors would definitely follow up on these in future articles.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<p style="text-align: center;">Effect of nutritional and environmental conditions in vitro on growth of <i>Colletotrichum gloeosporioides</i> causing anthracnose of anthurium</p> <p>The addition of in vitro might not seem very productive but as the study is conducted in lab settings under controlled conditions it is important that the title addresses this for future researchers who may use this paper as a baseline for their own studies.</p>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is perfect. The length is fine and it covers the two most important aspects covered in the study – growth rate in media and under different lighting conditions.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The overall scientific soundness of the manuscript is okay for a preliminary study. It misses some of the depth in modern scientific communication but this is understandable and I would assume that is most likely due to a lack of resources and the dated nature (14 years) of the data collection exercise. Future studies would ideally be narrower but with greater depth.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No. There is a need to check and update references primarily since these dated references are not the 'primary' reference point for a method or something similar.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is okay. Some proof reading is required for minor issues.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ravneel Kumar
Department, University & Country	Massey University, New Zealand