

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_121852
Title of the Manuscript:	SCREENING AND EVALUATION OF GERBERA CULTIVARS AGAINST ROOT-KNOT NEMATODE <i>Meloidogyne incognita</i>
Type of the Article	Research article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Gerbera is one of the important flowering plants which is used in this study. Resistance against root-knot nematode is evaluated in different plants but study on selected plant is novel work. It is a good approach to find out some novel resistant genes.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is good.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Experimental design is good. Write up is also good but there are some suggestions like introduction and result sections are very short. In introduction section, some previous reports should be included. How much work has been done on this plant and how your work is different. In result and discussion section, it should be increases by some additional information like effects of disease on plant leaves and it weight or leaves contents.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	More and recent refences should be added	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Jamshed
Department, University & Country	Ghazi University, Pakistan