

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_121852
Title of the Manuscript:	SCREENING AND EVALUATION OF GERBERA CULTIVARS AGAINST ROOT-KNOT NEMATODE <i>Meloidogyne incognita</i>
Type of the Article	Research

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Gerbera is an important cut flower grown in poly houses. Root knot nematode is a major problem in Gerbera and is known to cause severe crop loss. Treating poly house soil infested with nematodes is difficult. Hence it would be ideal to choose a variety that is resistant to root knot nematode. The objective of the study is to select a nematode resistant Gerbera variety which is more appropriate	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title may be modified as Screening Gerbera varieties against root knot nematode Meloidogyne incognita	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract should include some data	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The number of experiments is not sufficient for a full-length article Only one pot culture experiment has been conducted with six varieties. A control without nematode inoculation has to be included for comparison	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are not enough Recent references are also missing	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	The content of the manuscript is very less.It can be published as a short research note after revision	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	B. Anita
Department, University & Country	Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, India