

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_123341
Title of the Manuscript:	Monitoring of Virulence of gall midge (<i>Orseolia oryzae</i> Wood-Mason) population at Warangal, Telangana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Monitoring the virulence of any of the pests is very important in the process of resistance breeding. But in the current manuscript they have used only 4 varieties, including susceptible check, with this insufficient data we can't conclude, that if we study a minimum of 50 or more varieties, we can have practical application, if they have studied more varieties better to add few more varieties	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It needs to be rewritten	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No, it needs modifications	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is not scientifically sound	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No, there are only 11 references and many are very old, references should be made a minimum of 20 or so. Use recent references.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It can be improved; grammatical errors are there	
Optional/General comments	I think the information is not sufficient for a normal research paper, it needs major corrections.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Balaji BN
Department, University & Country	University of Agricultural Sciences, India