

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_123168
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect Of Nano Urea On Growth, Yield And Nitrogen Use Efficiency Of Irrigated Wheat (Triticum aestivum L.)
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is significant to the scientific community, particularly in the field of agronomy and sustainable agriculture. It explores the potential of nano urea as a supplementary fertilizer, demonstrating its ability to enhance wheat yield and nitrogen use efficiency while potentially reducing the overall nitrogen input. The findings are crucial as they offer insights into how nano urea can be integrated into existing agricultural practices to improve productivity and sustainability. I appreciate the manuscript's practical implications in improving crop management strategies and contributing to advancing sustainable agricultural practices.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes, the is clear and accurately reflects the focus of the study.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract is well-structured but could be improved by clarifying the study's objective, explaining the treatments, summarizing key findings more concisely, and including a brief conclusion. These changes would make the abstract more comprehensive and impactful for readers.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>The references cited are adequate, but they lack the most recent studies. Several recent papers on this topic could provide crucial information to enhance the quality of the paper.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The quality of English is suitable.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>It is recommended to include a conclusion section in the manuscript.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Faisal Mehmood
Department, University & Country	Sindh Agriculture University, Pakistan