

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_123366</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Seasonal Incidence of Insect Pests on Blackgram [Vigna mungo (L.) Hepper] in Malwa Region of Madhya Pradesh</b>
Type of the Article	

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Work is focused on a study investigating the prevalence and population dynamics of insect pests and their natural enemies in Blackgram crops.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is good	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes and good	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript demonstrates scientific robustness and technical soundness through its comprehensive approach to pest population dynamics and their relationship with abiotic factors. The systematic collection of data across multiple pest species, including leafhoppers, whiteflies, Bihar hairy caterpillars, and others, over the entire crop cycle ensures a thorough analysis of pest behavior and infestation patterns.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Sufficient	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Issues such as missing spaces, incorrect punctuation, and inconsistent formatting of units (e.g., "501kg/ha" should be "501 kg/ha") should be corrected.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	While the manuscript is informative and covers essential aspects of the research, revising the language for grammar, consistency, and clarity will enhance its suitability for scholarly communication. Employing a professional editor or peer review to address these issues could significantly improve the manuscript's readability and impact.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Rakesh Davella</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University, India</b>