

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_123107
Title of the Manuscript:	Small cocoa farmers' perception of climate change and multiple adoption of adaptation strategies in Côte d'Ivoire
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Climate change is a global problem that has come to stay. Its impacts cannot be easily eradicated. Exploring the various adaptation strategies by some farmers in different localities may help other farmers facing similar changes in climatic patterns. The factors influencing these adaptation strategies may be as a result of a lot of factors such as gender, education level, access to land, and many more. These factors will help stakeholders and policymakers to make strong policies on equity, climate change, and agriculture.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is not suitable for the study. Although the topic had cocoa farmers in there, the study did not mention cocoa farmers. It focused on crops such as yam, legumes, and cash crops. I suggest the topic Smallholder farmers' perception of climate change and multiple adoption of adaptation strategies in Côte d'Ivoire	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive. It captured the problem, the object of the study as well as the major findings of the study as required by the journal.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and the structure of the write-up are appropriately done as required of the author by the journal.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	How farmers perceive climate change and tend to develop strategies to mitigate it is useful knowledge to farmers. This will help policymakers and stakeholders intensify farmers' local adaptative strategies and attract the intervention of technological tools.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient for the writeup however, references before 2010 are not current. Climate change is a current phenomenon hence there is a lot more recent literature that's after 2010.	

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language is clear and suitable for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The level of Education of a farmer should not binary as different levels of education may have different level of influence on one's decision to adopt a particular technology or not. For instance, a university graduate's decision to adopt may differ from a basic school graduate. 2. Purposive sampling is a qualitative sampling technique there how was it used to administer questionnaire to farmers? 3. The author mentioned other sources of data like precipitation patterns, temperature pattern and others but I did not see them in the write up. 4. The author did not mention cocoa farmers in the entire write-up therefore the word "cocoa" should be removed from the topic and the focus should be on smallholder farmers. 5. The Author did not mention the statistical software and the programming language used to run the multivariate model. 6. In the future, the author should let us know how climate change has affected yield, backed with secondary data. 	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i></p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Dorcas Twumwaa Gyan</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Southern University, A&M College, USA</p>