

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_122354
Title of the Manuscript:	Preparation of Geo-Spatial Soil fertility map of KVK farm, Gariyaband, Chhattisgarh
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I don't like this article because it is not written systematically and is still lacking in relevant references. I also regret that the author did not include the method in its entirety and I think it confuses the reader. In principle, this article is good enough to increase knowledge about soil fertility, but with the note that it must be improved according to the correct rules.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, see comment	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No, Suggestion: the study area needs to be clarified, the methods need to be rewritten in detail and make sense, and remember that you are using ArcGIS in this research.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, but you need to see the comments in the manuscript. There are some important steps that you skipped in this research.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I am sure this is a research paper. On the other hand, I am worried about the lack of information especially in the introduction causing this paper to be academically and scientifically weak. The author is also careless in writing the method and does not explain the details of the research location. In general, it can still be improved if you read my comments carefully.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, but there are some authors whose names are not included in the bibliography, for example: Kumar (2019) and Sidar (2023). The authors are not thorough.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Slamet Arif Susanto
Department, University & Country	University of Papua, Indonesia