

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_122169
Title of the Manuscript:	Enhancing Yogurt Functionality through Enrichment with Cow and Soy Milk: Production and Quality Evaluation
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Manuscripts are important for the scientific community. This research paper is about Enhancing Yogurt Functionality through Enrichment with Cow and Soy Milk: Production and Quality Evaluation	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title of the study is good and suitable	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	Abstract writing needs to be improved. It is best to start writing with an introduction, problem statement, objective, result and conclusion.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Structure of the manuscript appropriate. For improvement, Introduction writing should be organized with a literature review followed by a clear research problem and supported by several authors. Finally, the objective of the study is clearly written so that the reader is clear about the direction of the study.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Methodology writing should be completed with a citation to show the method used in parallel with previous authors The writing of this manuscript needs to be reviewed in terms of creative and scientific writing. Results should be in a table to facilitate comparison and discussion	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The writing format of the conclusion should show overall findings. The author needs to provide a synthesis of key points and highlight the important takeaways from the study	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Good	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Refer to the comments in the manuscript	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nor Hairul Bin Palal</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Politeknik Tun Syed Nasir Syed Ismail, Malaysia</b>