

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_121902
Title of the Manuscript:	Lokāt (Eriobotrya japonica): A fruit with nutritional and medicinal properties, in the light of Unani Medicine and recent scientific studies
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Dear Editor;</p> <p>I have reviewed the manuscript titled 'lokāt (eriobotrya japonica): a fruit with nutritional and medicinal properties, in the light of unani medicine and recent scientific studies" and would like to provide the following recommendations for improvement.</p> <p>By addressing these recommendations, the authors can significantly improve the quality and clarity of their manuscript:</p> <p>Title: Consider revising the title to make it more engaging and reflective of the manuscript's key findings.</p> <p>Keywords: Include relevant keywords in the abstract to improve the manuscript's visibility and discoverability.</p> <p>Abstract: Summarize the most important active molecules and therapeutic properties of the plant in the abstract.</p> <p>Introduction:</p> <p>Add more references to recent and relevant studies to strengthen the literature review and provide a comprehensive overview.</p> <p>Add details about the exact period when the plant was introduced in India by the English people</p> <p>Methods:</p> <p>Data Collection Procedures: Describe the procedures in greater detail to ensure reproducibility and clarity, including all relevant keywords used.</p> <p>Provide detailed inclusion and exclusion criteria for the study participants or data.</p> <p>Revise the "Methods" section title to "Data Collection" and include descriptive statistics to illustrate the results, such as the number of papers reviewed, accepted, and rejected.</p> <p>Distribution: Include a map clearly indicating the plant's distribution.</p> <p>General comments</p> <p>Improve the readability of tables by including all necessary information and designing them for clarity. Separate references should be added as individual columns, avoiding paragraph formatting within the tables.</p> <p>Figures should be of high quality, with clear labels, legends and references.</p> <p>Use only English in titles and subtitles, and extend the relevant sections to provide more comprehensive information.</p> <p>Add more details about the different studies cited and engage in a more thorough discussion of their results.</p> <p>Verify the accuracy of all references and ensure they are cited correctly in the text. Ensure consistent citation formatting throughout the manuscript, placing reference numbers before the endpoint.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fatma Zahra Sakhri
Department, University & Country	Université Des Frères Mentouri, Algeria