

ReviewForm3

JournalName:	AsianResearchJournalofArts&SocialSciences
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_ARJASS_121587
TitleoftheManuscript:	MOUNTINGUNEMPLOYMENTANDTHENATIONALTAMINGEFFORTS;ANANALYSISOFCONTEMPORARYCOIRINDUSTRY
TypeoftheArticle	OriginalResearchArticle

[ReviewForm3](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript offers valuable insights into the challenges of unemployment within the context of the coir industry, an often-overlooked sector in discussions of national economic strategies. By analysing contemporary efforts to mitigate unemployment through the lens of this traditional industry, the paper contributes to a broader understanding of how localized industries can play a role in national economic stabilization. The manuscript's focus on the coir industry, a sector with cultural and economic significance, highlights the potential of niche industries in addressing unemployment issues. However, while the manuscript is well-researched, it could benefit from a deeper exploration of the socio-economic impact on the workers within this industry.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title, "Mounting Unemployment and the National Taming Efforts; An Analysis of Contemporary Coir Industry," effectively captures the main themes of the manuscript but could be more concise and impactful. A more focused title might be: "Addressing Unemployment through the Coir Industry: An Analysis of National Efforts and Challenges." This alternative title maintains the original intent while emphasizing the relationship between unemployment and the coir industry in a more streamlined manner.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract is generally comprehensive and provides a clear overview of the study's focus, methodology, and key findings. However, there are some areas where it could be improved for clarity and impact:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Clarification of Key Issues: The abstract mentions "unfavorable conditions" due to mechanization but does not specify what these conditions are. It could benefit from briefly elaborating on what these conditions entail (e.g., job losses, reduced wages, etc.). Specificity in Government Policies: While the abstract states that government policies are insufficient, it might be helpful to briefly mention which policies are being referred to or why they are inadequate. This would provide more context to the reader. Emphasis on Socioeconomic Impact: The abstract could give more emphasis to the specific socioeconomic impact on workers, particularly those from disadvantaged groups, to highlight the broader implications of the study. Potential Redundancy: The phrase "labor loss, displacement, and migration" seems to overlap in meaning. Consider rephrasing for conciseness, perhaps by focusing on the most significant aspect. <p>Revised Abstract: The coir industry in Kerala, the birthplace of Indian coir, is facing significant challenges due to mechanization, leading to widespread labor displacement and migration. This mechanization has created a dire condition that current government policies have failed to adequately address, particularly for disadvantaged social groups within the industry. As small-scale companies close and larger firms relocate to other states, the once-thriving coir sector in Kerala is in decline. This study examines the relationship between unemployment and the modernization of coir firms, focusing on how these changes impact job opportunities and the socioeconomic status of workers. Using a mixed-methods approach— including surveys, structured interviews, and secondary data analysis—the study reveals that despite consistent government funding, efforts have been insufficient, resulting in significant worker displacement and frequent labor migration.</p>	

ReviewForm3

<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>This manuscript's subsections and structure seem appropriate for a detailed examination of the Kerala coir industry, particularly in terms of its socioeconomic impacts and the government's response. Here's a brief evaluation of the structure and subsections:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Introduction: Clearly outlines the context and scope of the study. It sets up the background and significance of the issue well. 2. Result and Discussion: Results: Provides a comprehensive analysis of the current employment situation, mechanization's impact, and labor displacement. Discussion: Interprets the results, discussing the broader implications of mechanization and the inadequacies of existing theories and government responses. It appropriately connects the results with broader economic and social trends. 3. Government Measures to Address Unemployment: 	
	<p>Details specific interventions and policies, including both state and national initiatives. This section is essential for understanding the response to the issues identified in the results and discussion.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. Suggestions: Offers actionable recommendations based on the findings. This section provides practical solutions to address the problems identified, making it a valuable part of the manuscript. 5. Conclusion: Summarizes the main findings and reflects on the effectiveness of government measures. It highlights the need for more effective policies and interventions. 6. References: Includes a comprehensive list of sources that support the research and provides credibility. <p>Overall Suggestions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clarity and Flow: Ensure each section transitions smoothly to the next. For instance, the connection between the Results and Discussion might be clarified further to show how the results lead to the identified issues and government measures. • Subsection Titles: Consider using more descriptive titles for each subsection to enhance clarity. For example, under the "Result and Discussion" section, titles like "Impact of Mechanization" and "Sectoral Shifts and Workforce Trends" might be helpful. • Integration of Findings: In the Results and Discussion section, integrating specific findings with broader trends or theories might strengthen your argument. Ensure that each result is directly tied to the discussion points. • Policy Analysis: In the Government Measures section, a brief evaluation of the effectiveness of these measures might provide additional insight into why they have or haven't been successful. <p>This structure is comprehensive and covers all essential aspects of the issue. Ensuring clarity and coherence between sections will further enhance the manuscript's effectiveness.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound due to its comprehensive approach to analysing the impact of mechanization on the coir industry in Kerala. It employs a detailed examination of labour displacement, health issues, and socio-economic consequences, supported by a range of empirical data and statistical analyses. The inclusion of specific government measures and their evaluation further strengthens the manuscript's credibility, demonstrating a thorough understanding of both the industry's dynamics and the effectiveness of policy interventions. Additionally, the integration of diverse sources and research findings provides a well-rounded perspective, ensuring the accuracy and reliability of the conclusions drawn.</p>	

ReviewForm3

<p>Are there references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p> <p>-</p>	<p>The references provided are comprehensive and cover a range of relevant studies and sources. However, there are a few considerations regarding their sufficiency and recency:</p> <p>Coverage of Recent Developments: The manuscript could benefit from including more recent studies, especially those from the last decade, to address any recent developments in the coir industry, mechanization, and labour issues. This would help ensure that the analysis reflects the most current data and trends.</p> <p>Additional Perspectives: Including references from industry reports, government publications, and recent policy evaluations could provide additional perspectives and validate the findings with contemporary sources.</p> <p>Suggestions for Additional References:</p> <p>Industry Reports: Recent reports from industry bodies such as the Coir Board of India or market research firms could offer updated statistics and insights.</p> <p>Government Publications: Newer publications from government agencies or ministries related to labour, industry, or trade could provide current policy information and recent developments.</p> <p>Academic Journals: Look for recent articles in journals focusing on industrial economics, labor studies, or regional development that may have published relevant findings on the coir industry or similar sectors.</p> <p>By incorporating these types of sources, the manuscript would benefit from a broader and more up-to-date range of information.</p>	
<p><u>Minor REVISION</u> comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the articles suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language and English quality of the manuscript are generally suitable for scholarly communication but could benefit from some refinement. Here are a few considerations:</p> <p>Clarity and Precision: The manuscript conveys complex ideas and data effectively but may benefit from clearer articulation of certain points to enhance understanding. Ensuring that technical terms are defined and that sentences are concise can improve readability.</p> <p>Consistency: Consistency in terminology and style throughout the manuscript is crucial. Ensure that terms related to mechanization, labour displacement, and government policies are used consistently and accurately.</p> <p>Grammar and Syntax: Review the manuscript for any grammatical or syntactical errors. While the overall grammar is appropriate, minor revisions might be needed to polish the text and ensure a formal academic tone.</p> <p>Technical Terms: Ensure that technical terms are explained clearly, especially for readers who may not be specialists in the field. Providing definitions or explanations for industry-specific jargon can be helpful.</p> <p>Overall, with some minor revisions to enhance clarity and consistency, the manuscript is well-positioned for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Masum Akter</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Hohai University, China</p>