

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_122813
Title of the Manuscript:	PERCEPTION OF NURSES AND NON PHYSICIAN ANAESTHETISTS ON FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH PERIOPERATIVE SURGICAL DELAY IN ELECTIVE SURGERIES AT KIGALI REFFERRAL HOSPITALS, RWANDA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	A much needed topic with high significance.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is Suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, Abstract is Comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<p>Yes, sections and structure of manuscript appropriate</p> <p>Please see comments and observations below.</p>	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, It is scientifically robust and technically sound	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, references recent	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes, language is suitable	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>OBSERVATIONS AND COMMENTS:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Objectives: needs to be rephrased according to the title of Research. 2. Introduction: Rationale is missing 3. Introduction references: In this section kindly add few more recent and relevant references. 4. Sample size: is 162 (in Abstract) or 140 (in tables) ? Kindly confirm. 5. Data Analysis: needs a elaboration and clarity. 6. Discussion: Limitations are missing 7. Conclusion: is very lengthy, kindly make it shorter by summarizing the key findings. 	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Uzma Hassan
Department, University & Country	National University of Medical Sciences, Pakistan