

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_122695
Title of the Manuscript:	Yield Gap Analysis of Potato in Arunachal Pradesh
Type of the Article	Review and data (simple)

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is somehow important and logical article in my view. Article rather contains minimum analysis but review & discussion part is strong. Potato itself staple food crop, so the topic and finding is very important.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It is fine, but adds India as I comment in this.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is satisfactory, conclusion remarks should be added with a sentence. It was found yield gap in the study area due to various factors so it is suggested for concerning relevant stakeholder in the potato production area.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Some correction should be made in Subsection and structure: Margin , space, check numbering in heading	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Scientifically fine but analysis part is not sufficient. Review part is good in citation. Specifically focused in yield part so that is fine.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are fine but should be checked font size, format & spacing.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It is fine, topic is important & innovative. Some review & citation may further may added in the body part of article. Somehow tense may require to check.	
Optional/General comments	Overall fine, I have added comment on the article word file.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ankit Adhikari
Department, University & Country	Purbanchal University, Nepal