

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_123111
Title of the Manuscript:	A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW ANALYSIS ON INSTRUCTIONAL LEADERSHIP STYLES: EXPLORING RESEARCH FOCI, CONCEPTUAL MODELS, METHODOLOGICAL DESIGNS, AND GEOGRAPHICAL DISTRIBUTIONS
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is well published as a branch of knowledge, especially in the field of instructional leadership. The introduction to the theory and model stated can serve as a guide for future studies.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW ANALYSIS OF INSTRUCTIONAL LEADERSHIP STYLES: EXPLORING RESEARCH FOCI, CONCEPTUAL MODELS, METHODOLOGICAL DESIGNS, AND GEOGRAPHICAL DISTRIBUTIONS</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>It is recommended that you offer your abstract using the following six (6) elements: 1) state the main goal of the paper; 2) highlight merit (or contribution); 3) give a conceptual idea about the method; 4) describe the research design and procedures/processes used (is it a simulation, experiment, survey, etc.); 5) provide the main results or conclusions, as well as any conclusions that can be drawn; and 6) include any implications for further research or application/practice, if any.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>		
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This writing can be enhanced as it incorporates theories that serve as a foundation for future research. However, it would be beneficial to clearly state the rationale behind the theory, methodology, and locality, as well as the specifics of each point. Overall, it's a good article.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Change the reference used to 2019 and above. Find the latest reference.</p>	

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Improve the use of simpler language and revise the sentences used due to incorrect sentence order.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Figure 2 demonstrates that the writing is unclear. In the introduction section, enter the research requirements that focus on the research environment.</p> <p>In figure 3, write the full statement on all "quantitative" examples, not just "Quan."</p> <p>The structure presented below, which we recommend for you, is a traditional 6-step approach to writing a well-crafted discussion section.</p> <p>1). Introduction—mention gaps in previous research Example: This study exploring ".....". While earlier studies have explored the</p> <p>2). Summarizing key findings—let your data speak Example: We found that "....."</p> <p>3). Interpreting results—compare with other papers Example: Our study suggests that higher "....."</p> <p>4). Addressing limitations—their potential impact on the results Example: This study explored a comprehensive "....." with ".....". However, further and in-depth studies may be needed to confirm its ".....", especially regarding ".....".</p> <p>5). Implications for future research—how to explore further Example: Our study demonstrates that"....." are more resilient than ".....". Future studies may explore "....." with feasible ways of producing ".....".</p> <p>6). Conclusion—summarize content Example: Recent observations suggest that the ".....". Our findings provide conclusive evidence that this proposed learning method is associated with "....." change, not due to elevated numbers of ".....".</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Mohd Norlizam bin Mohd Razali</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Malaysia</p>