

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJESS_123111</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW ANALYSIS ON INSTRUCTIONAL LEADERSHIP STYLES: EXPLORING RESEARCH FOCI, CONCEPTUAL MODELS, METHODOLOGICAL DESIGNS, AND GEOGRAPHICAL DISTRIBUTIONS</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript on instructional leadership styles is essential for the scientific community as it provides valuable insights, evidence-based recommendations, and practical implications for enhancing educational practices, improving student outcomes, and fostering a culture of continuous improvement in schools and educational institutions.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes it is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes it is compressive, it is better to include recommendation	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes it is appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is scientifically correct the researcher tried to include all components of the research and tried to to make technically sound	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Some references are not recent I advised to use more recent literature	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It is suitable	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	My general comment is try to revisit recommendation part and incorporate recent literature	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Fasil Girma Fekede</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Arbaminch Education College, Ethiopia</b>