

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Cardiology Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJCR_123169</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>AOD haemorrhagic event with tubo-ovarian complex; a rare case report</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case report</b>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript presents a rare case. Report of this type of cases are important for knowledge and reference of the physicians. It adds to the repository of unknown factors in a particular ailment.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Abbreviations should be avoided in Title	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is too short and may add the parameters presented	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Looks OK	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part .	The manuscript just presents several parameters from a patient. It seems a bit haphazard to read. There is a requirement of correlated discussion and speculations.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Introduction needs to be more vivid and thus more number of references.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Almost every sentence given as a paragraph. This does not seem to the rule of the journal.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sudipta Saha</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sister Nivedita University, India</b>